Growth will remain lackluster in Sub-Saharan Africa in 2016, weighed down by low and volatile commodity prices.

Addressing growing economic vulnerabilities and developing new sources of sustainable, inclusive growth are key priorities for the region.

Africa’s rapid urbanization offers a potential springboard for economic diversification. But building cities that work will require reforming land markets and urban regulations, and coordinating early infrastructure investments.
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Summary

- Growth in Sub-Saharan Africa decelerated to an estimated 3.0 percent in 2015, from 4.5 percent in 2014, driven by low commodity prices, weak global growth, rising borrowing costs, and adverse domestic developments in many countries. The slowdown was especially sharp in the region’s largest commodity exporters. But there were some bright spots, such as Côte d’Ivoire, which continued to experience robust, broad-based growth, supported by rising investment, and Kenya and Rwanda, where growth remained buoyant, helped by infrastructure spending, strong consumer demand, and a growing services sector.

- Recent commodity price movements represent a deterioration in the region’s terms of trade in 2016 by an estimated 16 percent (first-order approximation), with commodity exporters, especially oil exporters, seeing large terms-of-trade losses. For the region as a whole, it is estimated that the impact of the terms-of-trade shock will lower the level of economic activity in 2016 by 0.5 percent from the baseline, and weaken the current account and fiscal balance by about 4 and 2 percentage points below the baseline, respectively.

- With commodity prices expected to remain low for longer amid a gradual pickup in global activity and volatile financial market conditions, average growth in the region is projected to remain subdued at 3.3 percent in 2016. For 2017–18, growth is projected to average 4.5 percent. The projected pickup in activity in 2017–18 reflects a gradual improvement in the region’s largest economies—Angola, Nigeria, and South Africa—as commodity prices stabilize and policies become more supportive of growth.

- Nonetheless, risks to the outlook remain tilted to the downside, including a sharper than expected slowdown in China, further decline in commodity prices, delays in implementing the necessary adjustment to the export price shock in affected countries, worsening drought conditions, and political and security uncertainties.

- As African countries move to rebuild momentum on growth, key policy challenges include adjusting to a new, lower level of commodity prices; addressing economic vulnerabilities; and developing new sources of sustainable, inclusive growth.

- The rapid decline in oil and commodity prices has signaled an urgent need for economic diversification in Africa. Urbanization and well managed cities provide a major opportunity to offer a springboard for diversification. But for urbanization to bring the benefits that it should, cities must become less costly for firms and hence more appealing to investors; in addition, cities must become kinder to their residents by offering services, amenities, and housing for the poor and the middle class.

- To build cities that work—cities that are livable, connected, and affordable, and therefore economically dense—policy makers will need to direct attention toward the deeper structural problems that misallocate land, fragment development, and limit productivity. Local and national governments are called to reform land markets and urban regulations—to enable investment and development, reward compliance, and ensure enforcement—and coordinate early infrastructure investments—increasing them is not enough.
Section 1: Recent Developments and Trends

- The global economic environment facing Sub-Saharan Africa weakened significantly in 2015, with commodity prices falling sharply and global growth remaining weak, slipping to 2.4 percent, from 2.6 percent in 2014. Global growth is projected to edge up to 2.5 percent in 2016, as emerging market and developing economy commodity exporters continue to adjust to low commodity prices.

- In 2016, growth is expected to remain at a lackluster 3.3 percent in Sub-Saharan Africa. There is considerable variation in economic performance across countries, with the slowdown concentrated among the region’s largest commodity exporters. Growing economic vulnerabilities, amid weakened policy buffers, continue to pose challenges for policy.

GLOBAL DEVELOPMENTS

The global economic environment facing Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) weakened significantly in 2015, with commodity prices falling sharply. Global growth slowed further, from 2.6 percent in 2014 to 2.4 percent in 2015. The slowing of growth in emerging market economies was an important contributing factor, with output contracting in Brazil and the Russian Federation, and rebalancing leading to a deceleration of growth in China. The deceleration of activity in emerging markets and developing economies overshadowed a modest recovery in advanced economies (figure 1.1).

Economic activity remained fragile across advanced economies and in major emerging markets at the start of 2016, although recent sector-level data point to some improvement in the manufacturing sector. In the United States, growth of gross domestic product (GDP) in the fourth quarter of 2015 was revised up to 1.4 percent (q/q, saar), with the revision showing that consumer spending was stronger and the negative impact from trade was less severe than initially estimated. The latest jobs and manufacturing data were also better than expected. In the Euro Area, domestic demand strengthened in the fourth quarter of 2015, while export growth slowed. The manufacturing Purchasing Manager’s Index (PMI) showed that activity at factories picked up slightly in March. Despite the uptick in slow growth in emerging market economies, especially in commodity exporters, contributed to the global slowdown in 2015.

![FIGURE 1.1: Global Growth and Contribution to Growth by Country Groups, 2005–15](image-url)
activity, factory gate prices fell sharply, highlighting the challenges the European Central Bank faces in its efforts to lift inflation. In Japan, the economy contracted in the fourth quarter of 2015 and remained weak in the first quarter of 2016, with activity continuing to slow in the manufacturing and services sectors. In China, PMI data indicated some improvement in factory activity in March.

The weakness of commodity prices seen in 2015, especially energy, has persisted into early 2016. Abundant supplies, weaker growth prospects in emerging economies, and a strong U.S. dollar resulted in a sharp drop in commodity prices in 2015, with energy prices falling by 45 percent and non-energy prices declining by 15 percent from the previous year. Oil prices rose from less than $30/bbl in January 2016 to US$37/bbl during the first half of March on improved sentiment. Despite discussion among producers to freeze output at the January levels, the price rally stalled as the market remained oversupplied with large stocks, particularly in the United States. Metal prices have also risen from lows in January, on expectations of firming demand and production cuts. However, the markets remain oversupplied with large stocks and capacity continues to rise, especially for iron ore and copper. Agricultural prices continued their downward trend in early 2016 due to ample supplies.

In addition to the fall in commodity prices, global merchandise trade was subdued in 2015, as import demand fell in commodity-exporting countries, and weakened in China because of slowing activity and economic rebalancing. International trade has been growing at a slower pace than in the 20 years preceding the international financial crisis (figure 1.2). Globally, the annual growth in goods and services import volumes has averaged 3 percent since 2012, compared with an average pace of 7 percent between 1986 and 2007. The sluggish performance of trade in recent years can be explained by several factors: among others, the slowing economic growth in low- and middle-income countries, uneven recovery of high-income economies, exchange rate volatility with the strong appreciation of the U.S. dollar, and the slump in commodity prices. The terms of trade of commodity exporters have deteriorated, affecting their purchasing power to demand goods and services from the rest of the world. Another factor contributing to the deceleration in international trade is the slower expansion in recent years of global value chains, which played a significant role in accelerating global trade in the past decades. Although services trade has shown more resilience than goods trade in recent years, supported by strengthening consumer spending and rising purchasing power among major oil-importing economies, global trade growth is expected to remain weak in 2016.

Capital inflows to developing countries dipped to a post-crisis low in 2015, slowing to an estimated 3.1 percent of GDP, down from 5.3 percent in 2014. The year 2015 was the third consecutive year of net fund outflows, as tumbling commodity

![FIGURE 1.2: Growth in World Imports of Goods and Services (volume)](image-url)

Source: International Monetary Fund World Economic Outlook.
prices, rating downgrades, and concerns about rising borrowing costs hit emerging market assets. Emerging market funds started 2016 with continued outflows, as risk aversion and growth concerns intensified. Foreign direct investment (FDI) generally showed greater resilience in 2015.

In response to weak global growth prospects, softening inflation expectations, and financial market turmoil, major central banks have maintained low rates or shifted toward further accommodation at their March rate-setting meetings. The U.S. Federal Reserve left the target range of the federal funds rate unchanged at 0.25 to 0.5 percent, as expected, noting that parts of the U.S. economy softened toward the end of 2015 and at the beginning of 2016. The European Central Bank cut Euro Area deposit rates by 10 basis points to -0.4 percent, and announced new measures that include expanding the quantitative easing program. The Bank of Japan left the policy interest rate at -0.1 percent, and the annual rate of quantitative easing unchanged. In this environment, emerging market assets rallied, reversing the losses registered at the start of 2016.

Against this backdrop, global growth is projected to reach 2.5 percent in 2016, marginally higher than in 2015 as emerging market and developing economy (EMDE) commodity exporters continue to adjust to low commodity prices. Global growth is projected to pick up to 2.9 percent in 2017 and 3.1 percent in 2018, largely reflecting a rebound in EMDEs. Growth in EMDEs is projected to rise to 4.6 percent in 2017–18, driven by improvements in the large commodity exporters as commodity prices stabilize. Growth in advanced economies is projected to remain low, averaging 2.0 percent annually in 2017–18.

Risks to the global outlook remain tilted to the downside. Although it is still a low-probability scenario, a faster than expected slowdown in China combined with a more protracted deceleration in other major emerging markets would weaken growth prospects across the developing world, including in SSA, as China is a large export market for commodity-exporting countries in the region. A synchronous slowdown in the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa) could have more pronounced global spillover effects if it is combined with financial stress. In a scenario where BRICS growth continues to be downgraded as in previous years and emerging market bond spreads suddenly rise (by 100 basis points), growth in other emerging and frontier markets could be curtailed by 1.3 to 1.5 percentage points in 2016. Many emerging and developing countries have seen their fiscal and reserve buffers depleted in the post-crisis period, reducing their ability to use countercyclical measures to support growth in a downturn.

**SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA**

**Recent Developments**

Economic activity in SSA slowed in 2015, with GDP growth averaging 3.0 percent, down from 4.5 percent in 2014. The deceleration in growth was driven by low commodity prices, weak growth in major trading partners, rising borrowing costs, and adverse domestic developments in many countries. This low pace of growth was last experienced by the region in 2009, following the global financial crisis, and contrasts sharply with the robust 6.8 percent average annual growth in GDP that the region enjoyed in 2003–08. The slowdown in growth implies that GDP per capita increased by less than 0.5 percent in 2015, complicating the challenge of accelerating poverty reduction in the region.

The fall in commodity prices represented a significant shock for the region because of the large share of commodities in exports: fuels, ore, and metals account for more than 60 percent of the region’s exports compared with 16 percent for manufactured goods and 10 percent for agricultural products. Oil prices
have declined markedly, falling by about 67 percent, from US$108/barrel in June 2014 to US$38/barrel by December 2015. Oil prices continued to fall at the start of 2016, and, despite a recent rebound, have remained low because of strong supply conditions (figure 1.3). Prices of agricultural commodities and metals declined as well, but at a slower pace than the price of oil. Among non-energy commodities, metal prices sustained a steeper drop, driven by large declines in the prices of iron ore, platinum, and copper, due in large part to weak global demand, especially from China and other large emerging market economies.

Going beyond the regional aggregate, there was considerable variation in growth performance across countries. The impact of the decline in commodity prices has been most severe for oil exporters, in part because oil prices fell the most. Average growth in oil-exporting countries is estimated to have slowed from 5.4 percent in 2014 to 2.9 percent in 2015 (figure 1.4). In Nigeria, the region’s largest oil exporter, growth more than halved to 2.8 percent from 6.3 percent in 2014. Growth fell sharply in Equatorial Guinea and the Republic of Congo. In several instances, adverse domestic developments exacerbated the direct impact of declining commodity prices. In Nigeria, electricity shortages, political uncertainty, and security threats depressed activity in the non-oil sector, keeping overall growth low (figure 1.5). Political tensions and security threats intensified in several other oil exporters, with conflict (South Sudan) and Boko Haram insurgencies (Cameroon and Chad) diverting resources from development goals.

Activity weakened significantly in non-energy mineral exporting countries, including Botswana, Guinea, Liberia, Sierra Leone, South Africa, and Zambia. These countries benefited less from lower oil prices due to sharp declines in
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**FIGURE 1.3:** Cumulative Variation in Commodity Prices since June 2014 (%)

![GDP Growth in SSA, by Country Groups](image2)

**FIGURE 1.4:** GDP Growth in SSA, by Country Groups


There was considerable variation in growth performance across countries, and oil exporters have seen the greatest slowdown. Average growth in oil-exporting countries is estimated to have slowed from 5.4 percent in 2014 to 2.9 percent in 2015.

Source: International Monetary Fund and World Bank.

Note: GDP = gross domestic product, SSA = Sub-Saharan Africa.
the price of metals, including copper, diamonds, iron ore, and platinum, their main commodity exports. The adverse impact of low metal prices was further compounded by domestic problems. A severe drought in the Southern Africa region (Botswana, South Africa, and Zambia) curtailed agricultural production and hydroelectricity generation there. In South Africa, the real value added by the electricity, gas, and water sector declined sharply, constraining activity in the manufacturing and mining sectors (figure 1.6). In addition, a political crisis kept business confidence low and put pressures on the currency. At 1.3 percent, the pace of economic activity remained sub-par in South Africa, and per capita GDP declined for the second consecutive year. In Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone, the Ebola crisis began to recede around the turn of the year, but the economic impact of the crisis lingered. In Sierra Leone, output contracted by a fifth, as low commodity prices led to the closure of iron ore mining operations.

In comparison, the slowdown has been less pronounced in most oil-importing countries. In Mozambique, delayed investment in the liquefied natural gas sector as a result of weak commodity prices weighed on GDP growth. In Uganda, a large depreciation of the currency spurred a tightening of monetary conditions that dampened economic confidence and domestic demand. Nevertheless, compared with the SSA average, growth has remained robust in these countries, helped in part by lower oil prices. Among net oil importers, Ethiopia and Rwanda continued to post solid growth, supported by public infrastructure investment, private consumption, and a growing services sector. Elsewhere, growth remained buoyant in Kenya, amid improving economic stability; Tanzania registered strong growth, underpinned by expansion in construction and services sectors. Despite terrorist attacks in some member countries (Burkina Faso, Mali), the West African Economic and Monetary Union continued to experience strong growth in 2015, helped in part by favorable agricultural developments. Côte d’Ivoire saw broad-based growth, supported by a favorable policy environment, rising investment, and increased consumer spending. In Burundi, a severe political crisis contributed to a contraction of output.
Amid the low commodity prices, capital flows to the region eased from their record level in 2014, led by a decline in cross-border bank lending, as European banks have increasingly deleveraged and oriented their lending activities toward developing Asia (figure 1.7). Eurobond issuance also softened. Sovereign bond issuance in 2015 totaled US$9.2 billion, compared with US$12.9 billion in 2014. Several countries tapped the international bond market in 2015, including maiden issuances from Angola and Cameroon. However, reflecting in part expectations about the U.S. Federal Reserve interest rate hike that materialized toward the end of 2015, SSA Eurobond issuance became increasingly expensive. Yields were substantially higher than in previous issuances, reaching 10.75 percent for Ghana (October 2015), above the 9.5 percent obtained by Angola (November 2015). At the start of 2016, concerns about growth in China and emerging markets more broadly, and monetary policy tightening in the United States led to a further tightening of external financial conditions for emerging and developing economies, prompting many countries in the region to delay plans to tap the international bond market. Sovereign bond spreads rose in the region, especially among oil exporters, and remain well above Taper Tantrum levels (figure 1.8).

External positions weakened across the region. The current account deficit widened sharply in oil exporters, especially in Angola and the Republic of Congo. In Nigeria, the current account balance was pushed into a relatively smaller deficit, from a surplus in 2014. The current account deficit widened across several non-energy exporters (Ethiopia, Mozambique, Namibia, and Niger) as well, in part because exports continued to suffer in these countries, but also because of stronger import growth on the back of large public investment projects. However, in many of these countries, the current account deficit has remained well funded by FDI. External public debt levels have increased across the region, with the median estimated at 30.1 percent of GDP, up from 23.9
percent of GDP in 2014. On aggregate, external debt levels increased moderately in oil-exporting countries, contained in part by Nigeria’s low level of public external debt. At the country level, Angola, Gabon, and the Republic of Congo experienced a large increase in the external debt ratio. Other countries where external debt levels rose significantly in 2015 include Ghana, Kenya, Mozambique, Tanzania, and Zambia. Several of these countries (Ghana, Kenya, and Zambia) have tapped the international bond market. The rising external debt levels leave several countries (Malawi, Mozambique, and the Republic of Congo) vulnerable to the risk that future currency depreciation could pressure debt servicing costs.

In many cases, the deterioration in the current account deficit led to falling reserves and substantial currency depreciations (figure 1.9). Reserve levels fell across the region, most markedly among oil exporters and in countries defending fixed exchange rates (Angola, Burundi, Nigeria, and Rwanda). Most of the region’s currencies sustained large depreciations against the U.S. dollar. The Ghanaian cedi, Mozambican metical, and Zambia kwacha, in particular, weakened considerably. Most currencies stabilized during March 2016 as commodity prices rebounded and the U.S. Federal Reserve signaled a decrease in the number of rate hikes this year. The pass-through of nominal exchange rate depreciation, compounded by the impact of drought on the food supply and the removal of fuel subsidies, contributed to a rise in inflation in several countries. Headline inflation increased sharply in Angola, Nigeria, and South Africa, exceeding the central banks’ targets, and was in double digits in Ghana and Zambia (figure 1.10). Core inflation also edged upward. However, in some oil-importing countries (Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda) inflation eased, reflecting strong external disinflationary pressures from lower food and oil prices. In Kenya, inflation fell within the central bank’s target as the shilling stabilized. Inflation has also remained low in the CFA franc zone countries where the currency has remained relatively stable thanks to its peg to the euro.

Monetary authorities in countries with a flexible exchange rate regime responded to the pressures on exchange rates by letting currencies depreciate more (Mozambique, Tanzania, and Uganda), and by tightening monetary policy through an increase in reserve requirements and policy rates (South Africa and Uganda) to contain inflationary pressures. South Africa’s central bank has hiked interest rates by a cumulative 75 basis points since the start of the year, and a cumulative 1.25 percentage points since
mid-2015, as the inflation outlook deteriorated (figure 1.11). In some countries (Angola, Burundi, and Nigeria), the monetary authorities have introduced administrative measures in a bid to support their currency. While the foreign exchange controls that the Central Bank of Nigeria imposed have helped to stabilize the official exchange rate, the parallel market exchange rate depreciated sharply against the U.S. dollar. This has driven inflation higher, stifled private sector demand, and contributed to a slowdown in the non-oil sector and a decline in international reserves. In March, against the backdrop of a sharp increase in core inflation, the Central Bank of Nigeria decided to hike its key policy rate, but left the foreign exchange restrictions in place. However, the widening spread between the official and market exchange rates suggests a continuing unmet demand for foreign currency in the country.

Compounding the external pressures, fiscal positions weakened across the region. Oil exporters (Angola, Chad, Gabon, Nigeria, and the Republic of Congo) faced a substantial decrease in revenues that put pressure on the overall fiscal balance. The fiscal policy response to the revenue shortfalls has varied, highlighting the need for a medium-term strategy to deal with the commodity price shock. Several countries cut expenditures in the face of declining revenues, with the expenditure cuts matching the reduction in revenues in only a few countries. In other countries, the government continued with its ambitious infrastructure investment program. For example, the Republic of Congo tapped into its reserves at the BEAC (Banque des États de l’Afrique Centrale) and its stabilization fund. Among oil exporters, fiscal deficits are estimated to have increased by an average of 1.5 percentage points of GDP in 2015 from their 2014 levels. Some large mineral exporters (such as Zambia) also saw a sharp decline in revenues that was not met with a commensurate reduction in expenditure. Expenditures rose in Zambia, resulting in a large fiscal deficit. In other non-resource-rich countries (Ethiopia, Kenya, Madagascar, Malawi, and Tanzania), an expenditure overrun and shortfall in revenue (in some cases grants) caused the fiscal deficit to widen.
Elevated or rising fiscal and current account deficits signal weaker policy frameworks in a number of countries, constraining these countries' policy response to a more difficult economic environment (figure 1.12). The extent to which fiscal deficits are fueling current account deficits is examined in box 1.1. The evidence suggests that countries in SSA face the challenge of balancing fiscal prudence as their economies become more globally integrated (through trade openness).

As a result of the limited fiscal adjustment, public debt burdens have risen. Public debt rose marginally in Nigeria in relation to GDP. However, some oil exporters (such as Angola, Gabon, and the Republic of Congo) saw a large increase in their public debt/GDP ratio, exceeding 15 points in the case of Angola. The increase in debt burdens was more moderate in non-energy mineral exporting countries, with the exceptions of Niger, Sierra Leone, and Zimbabwe, where the public debt/GDP ratio rose by more than 10 percentage points. Kenya, Mozambique, and Tanzania saw their debt levels increase by 5 percentage points on average, while the increase in Ghana was smaller. In several countries (including Kenya and Mozambique), the increase in government debt reflected rising infrastructure spending or the construction of mining projects that should support potential growth over the medium term. In others (such as Tanzania and Zimbabwe), exchange rate depreciations also contributed to the rising debt levels. Overall, debt ratios in 2015 were well above levels in 2011–13 (figure 1.13).

According to the latest debt sustainability analysis (2015) findings, there has been an increase in the number of countries with high fiscal balance and high inflation, highlighting the challenges countries face in managing their debt and inflation levels.

---

**Source:** World Economic Outlook, International Monetary Fund.
low-income countries in SSA that are considered at “moderate” and “high” risk of debt distress (figure 1.14). Indeed, nine (26 percent) of 35 SSA countries are at “high risk” of debt distress, with Cameroon, Ghana, and Mauritania recently added to this category. (The other six countries in this category are Burundi, Central African Republic, Chad, Eritrea, São Tomé and Príncipe, and Sudan). Meanwhile, the number of countries at low risk of debt distress has declined from 12 in 2014 to seven (or only 20 percent) in 2015. Unsurprisingly, the increase in debt burden, high or rising internal and external imbalances, and unfavorable external conditions are translating into weakening sovereign debt ratings or a “negative” outlook.

**OUTLOOK**

The external environment confronting SSA is expected to remain difficult in the near term. Commodity prices are expected to remain low, amid a gradual pickup in global activity, especially in emerging markets and developing economies, and external financing conditions are expected to tighten. Against this backdrop, average growth in SSA is projected to remain subdued at 3.3 percent in 2016. It is expected to rise to 4.5 percent in 2017–18, driven by a gradual improvement in the region’s largest economies as commodity prices stabilize and policies become more supportive of growth (figure 1.15).

The prospects for a significant pickup in private consumption growth in oil exporters remain weak for the near term. The removal of subsidies to alleviate pressure on budgets has resulted in higher fuel costs in
Angola, which, coupled with currency depreciation, will weigh on consumers’ purchasing power. By contrast, lower inflation in oil importers, owing in part to lower fuel prices, should continue to boost consumer spending. However, food price inflation caused by ongoing droughts in several countries, high unemployment as in South Africa, and the price level impact of currency depreciation, combined with interest rate increases, could moderate these effects.

Gross fixed capital formation is expected to slow across the region, driven by weak investment growth among oil exporters and large mineral exporters. China’s rebalancing, lower commodity prices, and deteriorating growth prospects in many commodity exporters are expected to result in further declines in FDI flows. Domestic policies also weigh on private investment. In Nigeria, the central bank’s foreign exchange control measures are tightening credit conditions and curtailing private investment. In South Africa, policy uncertainty and low business confidence could weigh on investment flows. By contrast, in several low-income, non-oil commodity exporters, governments are expected to continue with their public infrastructure investment program, drawing in part on public-private partnerships, donor aid, and, in some cases, financing from Chinese entities. Some countries are also turning increasingly to domestic borrowing through the issuance of treasury bills. Nevertheless, the pace of investment growth in low-income countries is expected to slow somewhat. Already, countries, such as Mozambique, Tanzania, and Uganda are experiencing delays in inward investment in their resource sectors, caused by the decline in commodity prices. Moreover, the tightening of global financing conditions has prompted many countries to delay tapping the international bond market.

The fiscal policy stance in commodity exporters is expected to remain tight in 2016 as commodity prices remain low. But in some countries, further fiscal adjustment may be necessary unless commodity prices pick up swiftly or external resources are available to smooth the adjustment. With fiscal deficits widening across the region, other countries, including the low-income non-oil commodity exporters, are also increasingly facing the need for fiscal consolidation to build buffers and resilience.

Net exports are expected to make a negative contribution to real GDP growth in the near term, despite currency depreciations. Low commodity prices will depress export receipts, especially among oil exporters, even as export volumes rise in some countries. The pull from advanced economies is expected to remain modest, given their moderate prospects for medium-term growth. Among oil importers, current account balances are expected to deteriorate in many countries on account of continued strong import growth, driven by capital goods imports for infrastructure projects.

Against this backdrop, the region faces the following expectations:

▶ Activity is expected to remain weak in the region’s three largest economies in 2016. In Nigeria, foreign exchange restrictions (if maintained) will continue to weigh on economic activity, exacerbating the effects of low commodity prices. In South Africa, the deterioration of the business environment will depress investment growth, while high unemployment and interest rate hikes will limit private consumption. In Angola, low oil prices, a weak investment climate, and rising inflation will weigh on real GDP growth.

▶ Among the region’s frontier markets, growth is expected to rise moderately in Ghana, driven by improving investor sentiment, launching of new oilfields, and overcoming the electricity crisis. Real
GDP growth is expected to remain subdued in Zambia, because of low copper prices and power shortages, and as higher interest rates and food costs stemming from the weakening currency weigh on private consumption. However, growth is expected to remain robust in Kenya, supported by private consumption and public investment. In Côte d’Ivoire, improved investment climate and strong domestic demand will help to keep growth high.

The outlook for the region’s low-income countries is expected to include a modest pickup in growth in oil and mineral exporters in 2016 as they continue to adjust to low commodity prices. In Mozambique, delayed investment in the liquefied natural gas sector and rising inflation will weigh on real GDP growth in 2016. Growth is also expected to slow in the Democratic Republic of Congo as the copper sector continues to struggle and political uncertainty weighs on investor sentiment. Post-Ebola recovery, aid-driven infrastructure investment and some limited growth in iron ore exports should help boost activity in Guinea, Liberia, and Sierra Leone. However, political and security uncertainties are expected to remain a drag on economic growth in Burundi, Burkina Faso, Mali, and Niger, and drought could adversely impact activity in Ethiopia. For most other countries, growth is projected to remain robust, supported by domestic investment and lower oil prices.

RISKS

The balance of risks to the outlook remains tilted to the downside.

On the external front, a sharper than expected slowdown in China through the rebalancing of growth toward consumption and services would lead to a further decline in commodity prices and investments that could lead to a cancelation of planned investment projects in resource sectors, and further weaken activity in commodity exporters. Weaker than expected growth in the Euro Area could further weaken the external demand for exports, and reduce investment flows as well as official aid. Tighter global financing conditions would result in higher borrowing costs that could affect the region through higher risk premia and reduced sovereign bond access for emerging and frontier countries.

On the domestic front, delays in adjustment to external shocks in affected countries would create policy uncertainties that could weigh on investor sentiment and weaken the recovery. A worsening of drought conditions would dampen growth in agriculture, reduce hydroelectricity production, and accentuate inflationary pressures. Boko Haram insurgencies and terrorist attacks remain a concern in West Africa and Kenya, while the risks of political upheavals are substantial in Burundi and South Sudan.

POLICY CHALLENGES

Commodity exporters across the region face a new, lower level of commodity prices to which they need to adjust. Furthermore, with commodity markets, and external conditions more generally, likely to be less supportive than in the past, the region will also need to focus on developing new sources of growth. Meanwhile, widening fiscal and current account deficits have increased economic vulnerabilities, which are reflected in depreciating currencies and rising inflation. This has prompted central banks in many cases to raise interest rates, even as the economy was slowing, further undermining growth. Responses to these challenges will vary, depending on country-specific conditions.
For most countries in the region, the adjustment to the low commodity prices will need to include stronger efforts to strengthen domestic resource mobilization, to reduce overdependence on revenue from the resource sector. In particular, resource-rich countries would benefit from improving their non-resource tax systems. Although tax revenues as a share of GDP have increased in SSA since the 1980s, much of the improvement was driven by growth in commodity revenues. Excluding resource-based revenues, there has been limited improvement in the domestic mobilization of tax revenues in the region. Stronger efforts to broaden the tax base and strengthen tax administration would help increase domestic revenue.

Exchange rate flexibility could help the adjustment to the low commodity price environment. In countries where exchange rates are flexible, policy makers may need to tighten their macroeconomic policy stances, and strengthen their monetary policy frameworks, to prevent inflation induced by currency depreciation from becoming a constant threat.

The increased vulnerabilities across the region point to the need for greater efforts to build policy buffers and resilience to external shocks. This will require measures to rationalize current expenditure, particularly the wage bill, and improve public financial management and the quality of spending. In oil-exporting countries, in particular, measures would be needed to increase public investment efficiency. Countries where a deeper and faster fiscal adjustment is required as a result of the commodity price shocks may face a difficult trade-off between boosting development spending and building buffers. In these countries, to the extent possible, fiscal adjustment should be designed to minimize the impact on growth and on vulnerable populations. In this context, provision of countercyclical financial support could help to build policy space for essential expenditures and ease adjustment.

Accelerating the pace of structural reforms aimed at boosting competitiveness and diversification will be critical for raising growth prospects and reducing extreme poverty (box 1.2). In most countries this will require greater efficiency of infrastructure investment, energy sector reforms, a more inclusive financial sector, and improvements in the business climate.

The post-financial crisis period has seen an increase in the number of countries in the region with large current account and fiscal deficits. For instance, 32 countries in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) had current account deficits that exceeded 5 percent of gross domestic product (GDP) in 2014-15 (up from 25 in 2007-08). The number of countries in the region with large fiscal deficits (exceeding 5 percent of GDP) increased from two in 2007–08 to 15 in 2014–15. The evidence suggests that fiscal deficits are associated with a deterioration of the current account balance for SSA countries; consequently, SSA countries face the challenge to balance their fiscal prudence while opening up the domestic market to the world.

Figure B1.1.1 plots the fiscal and current account balances in 2007–08 vis-à-vis those in 2014–15. It shows a deterioration of the current account and fiscal balances among SSA countries in the last two years compared with the pre-global financial crisis period. The current account balance deteriorated in 29 of 45 countries in SSA in 2014–15 relative to 2007–08. Over the same time period, the fiscal balance deteriorated in 35 countries, with expenditure increases outpacing revenue improvements (figure B1.1.2). Overall, 24 countries in the region experienced a deterioration in both the current account and the fiscal balance.
The median deterioration of the current account balance in 2014–15 relative to 2007–08 was about 8 percent of GDP, while that of the fiscal balance was 5.5 percent of GDP. The results suggest a positive co-movement between fiscal deficits and current account deficits—as postulated by the twin deficits hypothesis. However, the shocks behind this correlation may differ between commodity exporting and commodity importing countries in the region.

Testing the Twin Deficits Hypothesis in Sub-Saharan Africa

There are two prominent views on the relationship between fiscal deficits and current account deficits: the Keynesian absorption theory and the Ricardian equivalence hypothesis. If the Ricardian equivalence hypothesis holds, there is no significant association between fiscal and current account deficits. Specifically, tax cuts financed by the issuance of new public debt lead forward-looking households to expect government’s future tax increases to repay the new debt (Barro 1974). Anticipating future tax increases, households will save all the resources freed by the tax cut. Hence, consumption, national saving, and the current account will remain unchanged.

The Keynesian view states that government deficit shocks tend to crowd out private investment in the short run. The endogenous response of the private sector to the fiscal shock does not completely compensate the effect of public dissaving. Consequently, fiscal deficits end up fueling current
account deficits. For example, a fiscal expansion financed by public debt issuances will increase private disposable income and private consumption, and lower national saving. This fiscal expansion will crowd out private investment by lifting domestic interest rates; therefore, a decline in national saving is matched by an increase in the current account deficit, thus leading to twin fiscal and current account deficits.

The twin deficits hypothesis is examined for a sample of 47 SSA countries with annual information from 1980 to 2015. Table B1.1.1 reports the results of regressing the current account balance (as percentage of GDP) on the fiscal balance and other explanatory variables selected from the literature (Bartolini and Lahiri 2006), namely, government consumption, public debt, trade openness, GDP growth, and population growth. Table B1.1.1 (column [1]) reports a simple bivariate regression of the current account balance on the fiscal balance that yields a positive and significant coefficient. The regression confirms the hypothesis that fiscal deficits are associated with a deterioration of the current account balance for SSA countries. The estimated coefficient suggests that if the fiscal balance declines by 1 percent of GDP, the current account balance deteriorates by 0.16 percent of GDP. When more control variables are included in the regression (column [2] in table B1.1.1), the estimated coefficient of fiscal balance not only remains positive and significant, but also becomes larger than that of the bivariate regression. It shows that a 1 percent of GDP deterioration in the fiscal balance is associated with a 0.22 percent of GDP widening of the current account deficit.

Although columns [1] and [2] in table B1.1.1 assume parameter homogeneity across countries, the literature argues that the transmission process can be nonlinear because of the degree of trade openness or the level of government indebtedness (Corsetti and Muller 2006, 2008; Kim and Roubini 2008; Nickel and Vansteenkiste 2008; Nickel and Tudyka 2014). Thus, columns [3] and [4] in table B1.1.1 introduce the interaction between fiscal balance and the level of public indebtedness as well as that between fiscal balance and trade openness. The findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fiscal balance (FB)</td>
<td>0.160*</td>
<td>0.221**</td>
<td>3.195**</td>
<td>0.845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% GDP)</td>
<td>(0.0946)</td>
<td>(0.108)</td>
<td>(1.193)</td>
<td>(1.486)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government consumption</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
<td>-0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% GDP)</td>
<td>(0.164)</td>
<td>(0.154)</td>
<td>(0.167)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Debt (PDbt)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-4.054***</td>
<td>-5.262***</td>
<td>-7.106***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% GDP, logs)</td>
<td>(1.465)</td>
<td>(1.456)</td>
<td>(1.569)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade Openness (TO)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-0.742</td>
<td>-1.925</td>
<td>1.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(% GDP, logs)</td>
<td>(2.450)</td>
<td>(2.345)</td>
<td>(2.563)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP Growth</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-0.0281</td>
<td>-0.547</td>
<td>-0.435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(0.315)</td>
<td>(0.361)</td>
<td>(0.343)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Growth</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>1.283</td>
<td>1.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(%)</td>
<td>(1.244)</td>
<td>(1.183)</td>
<td>(1.117)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction: FB x PDbt</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>-0.589**</td>
<td>-0.972***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.236)</td>
<td>(0.273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction: FB x TO</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.835***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.346)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. The regression analysis accounts for time effects. Standard errors are in parentheses. *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1.
show that fiscal deficits are more likely to be associated with current account deficits in countries with greater trade integration and lower public debt. The (partial degree of) responsiveness of the current account deficit to fiscal deficits is about 1.43 for countries with low public debt (around 20 percent of GDP), and about 0.89 for countries with higher public debt (50 percent of GDP).

Figure B1.1.3 shows the responsiveness of the current account deficit to movements in the fiscal deficit conditional on the degree of trade openness (panel a) and the level of government indebtedness (panel b), while keeping constant the other dimension (at its median level). The solid line represents the strength (or lack thereof) of the twin deficits hypothesis, while the dotted lines capture the 90 percent confidence interval. This response was evaluated at selected percentiles of the distribution of these two variables for SSA (5th, 10th, …, 90th, 95th). The figure shows that the nature of the relationship between the current account and the fiscal balance among countries in the region is consistent with that of the twin deficits hypothesis: (a) the relationship is stronger the greater is the degree of international trade integration, and (b) the relationship is stronger the lower is the level of general government gross debt (as a ratio to GDP).

Figure B1.1.3, panel a, shows that for countries with very low levels of trade openness (below the 5th percentile—that is, exports and imports of about 25 percent of GDP), the relationship between the current account deficit and the fiscal deficit is negative and nonsignificant. For countries with trade openness of about 55 percent of GDP (that is, the 40th to 45th percentile), the relationship is positive and statistically significant, and the coefficient fluctuates between 0.4 and 0.45. For SSA countries with a high level of openness (say, the top quartile or real exports and imports that represent 90 percent of GDP), the coefficient is 0.8. This implies that a 1 percent of GDP increase in the fiscal deficit is associated with a 0.8 percent of GDP increase in the current account deficit for countries with high trade openness.

Figure B1.1.3, panel b, indicates that for countries with very high levels of government indebtedness (above the 75th percentile—that is, public debt of about 98–100 percent of GDP), the relationship...
African countries have experienced some of the fastest growth rates in exports in recent years, driven by rising prices for commodity exports such as oil and metals, with limited impacts on local economies. As a result, the exports of many African countries are highly concentrated in a few commodities (figure B1.2.1). There has been some progress toward diversification in some countries (Ethiopia and Rwanda, for example), but others have become even more dependent on just a few products (Chad, Sierra Leone).

**FIGURE B1.2.1: Export Concentration Ratio, 2014**


Note: Export concentration is measured by the Herfindahl index, the range of the index is 0 – 100, with higher values indicating higher extent of concentration.

The global economy is more challenging, but opportunities to drive diversified growth through exports are important for Africa. Falling demand in key markets, such as China, and the resulting decline in commodity prices are undermining Africa's immediate growth prospects. The dominant commodity exports are sold on global exchanges and cannot be simply diverted to other markets.
Nevertheless, there is an opportunity for Africa to exploit its comparative advantages in agriculture, low production costs in manufacturing, and services to drive more inclusive export-led growth. Integration into regional and global value chains offers a route for African firms and individual services providers to provide their goods and services to the global market. These opportunities are enhanced by the duty preferences that African producers can receive in key markets, such as the United States under African Growth and Opportunity Act, the European Union through Economic Partnership Agreements or Everything but Arms, China, and other African countries through regional agreements.

High trade logistics costs and limited domestic competition undermine the ability of African entrepreneurs to exploit new export opportunities. Africa’s infrastructure constraint is beginning to be addressed, but trade logistics costs remain high relative to other regions. In some cases, such as apparel, trade preferences can offset these high costs and are allowing new exports to emerge.

Maintaining a competitive exchange rate is essential, but reducing trade costs is necessary to scale up and sustain these new activities for long-term job creation and poverty reduction. Importing (materials, machinery, technology, knowledge, and skills) to export is indispensable to be able to enter modern value chains and diversify exports more broadly. Hence, attention must be given to reducing the costs to import as well as export by reducing those tariffs that remain relatively high, rationalizing procedures, including electronic submission of documents, disciplining the use of permits and licenses and other regulatory measures that create barriers to trade, improving access to trade-related information, and increasing coordination between agencies involved in the trade process. Competitive and efficient input and output markets are essential to support trade development, but African markets lack competition. The level of competition is lower in African countries than in competitors and in many cases a single firm accounts for more than 50 percent of the market in key sectors, such as trucking services and fertilizer distribution.

---

*A more detailed discussion of these opportunities is provided in *Defragmenting Africa and Africa Can Help Feed Africa.*
Section 2: Terms-of-Trade Shock and Impact on Economic Performance in Sub-Saharan Africa

The current oil price decline of about 70 percent since June 2014 is the largest 20-month price drop over the past half-century. In contrast to other episodes, the price decline has come along with falling prices of metals and minerals and agricultural commodities—although at a different pace.

Recent commodity price changes represent a deterioration in the terms of trade of countries in Sub-Saharan Africa by an estimated 16 percent (first-order approximation). Terms-of-trade losses of commodity exporters tend to be larger than the gains by commodity importers. Oil-rich countries are hit the hardest.

For the region as a whole, the level of economic activity is 0.5 percent lower than the baseline under a scenario of energy and non-energy commodity price changes. At the same time, the current account and fiscal balance are about 4 and 2 percentage points below the baseline, respectively.

Falling oil prices are reducing cash flows from oil production, limiting oil companies' investment in exploration and production. The falling prices are also reducing the value of the underlying assets that these companies use to back their rising liabilities. Most oil and gas development in Sub-Saharan Africa is extremely vulnerable to deferral at the current prices and given the tighter financial conditions facing oil companies.

DECLINE IN OIL PRICES: LOWER AND LONGER

Commodity prices have experienced sharp swings since the global financial crisis. More recently, the world economy has experienced sharp declines in oil prices. The average international price for a barrel of crude oil dropped significantly, from US$108 in June 2014 to about US$31 in February 2016. Moreover, several commodity prices other than oil have also weakened over the past year; however, the extent of their decline has been far from uniform (figure 1.3). For instance, the cumulative decline in the prices of iron ore and nickel has been about 50 percent since June 2014, while that of wheat has exceeded 40 percent.

The sharp drop in crude oil prices since June 2014 is not unprecedented. Four other episodes where the cumulative price decline exceeded 40 percent can be identified from the data on 20-month variations in the international price of crude oil, from November 1984 to February 2016 (table 2.1). Oil plunge episodes that are mostly driven by demand shocks (1990–92, 1996–98, and 2008–10) have a cumulative loss in the price of oil below 50 percent—as opposed to oil supply–driven events (1984–86 and 2014–16) with a price decline that exceeds 65 percent over a 20-month window.

1 After the sharp drop in the last quarter of 2008 and first half of 2009, ample policy stimulus in high-income countries and among large and dynamic emerging markets produced a post-crisis recovery in commodity prices.
2 Baffes et al. (2015) undertook a similar approach. They identified episodes of sharp decline in oil prices by selecting seven-month periods where the cumulative drop in oil prices exceeded 30 percent.
Recent evidence supports the argument that the underlying set of shocks driving the decline in oil prices since 2014 is qualitatively similar to the set of shocks prevailing during the 1985–86 episode, namely, technological innovations, rising supply from non-OPEC countries, and OPEC policy shifts (Baffes et al. 2015).

### MACROECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE OIL PRICE DECLINE

Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), as a net exporter of commodities, is prone to drastic swings in their international prices, especially oil, iron ore, copper, gold, coffee, and cocoa, among others. The end of the commodity super cycle has led to a sharp reduction in export proceeds and volumes, and it is putting pressure on the currencies of commodity exporting countries in the region. Commodity-related revenues have also dropped considerably for the governments of countries that export energy and extractive commodities.

The plunge in commodity prices will be a drag on growth in the region. Macroeconomic simulations suggest that the plunge in commodity prices would explain a reduction in the level of economic activity of the region for 2016 of about 0.2 to 0.5 percent below the baseline. However, the end of the commodity super cycle will hit resource abundant countries in the region harder—notably, oil abundant countries. Hedging against commodity price risks is at the forefront of the policy agenda, as oil prices have plunged since June 2014.

**Terms-of-Trade Effects**

Table 2.2 presents a first-order approximation of the terms-of-trade effects of recent fluctuations in commodity prices. The price shock used to simulate the terms-of-trade effects among the countries in SSA is the percentage change in the 2016 average price of each of the commodities under analysis, as predicted by World Bank in 2015 Q3 and 2016 Q1. Box 2.1 reports the assumptions about the changes in commodity prices. For instance, the average price of crude oil in 2016 was forecasted at US$61.3 per barrel in 2015 Q3 and US$37 per dollar in 2016 Q1. This implies a downward revision of the international price of oil of about 40 percent. The approach followed here to compute the terms-of-trade effects may underestimate the net trade benefits resulting from price changes, as export supply and import demand may adjust to the new relative prices and exchange rates in a welfare-improving fashion. Hence, the

---

**TABLE 2.1: Episodes of Plunging Oil Prices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting month</th>
<th>Final month</th>
<th>Cumulative Drop (%)</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov-84</td>
<td>Jul-86</td>
<td>-65.2</td>
<td>Oil market supply glut, OPEC policy shift</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep-90</td>
<td>May-92</td>
<td>-40.4</td>
<td>U.S. Recession</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct-96</td>
<td>Jun-98</td>
<td>-47.1</td>
<td>Emerging Market Crisis (Asia, Russian Federation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul-08</td>
<td>Mar-10</td>
<td>-40.3</td>
<td>2008-09 Global Financial Crisis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun-14</td>
<td>Feb-16</td>
<td>-71.4</td>
<td>Oil market supply glut, OPEC policy shift</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: The table reports the 20-month window episodes with a cumulative drop in oil prices that exceeded 40 percent. OPEC = Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries.
analysis may assess the magnitude of the initial price shock to trade in SSA before any adjustments in supply, demand, or economic policy are undertaken.6

The terms of trade of SSA countries will deteriorate, on average, 16 percent, given the projected changes in commodity prices. The reduced purchasing power of the region’s exports is partly attributed to the weakening of oil and other energy commodities (15.98 percent).

Terms-of-trade changes are different across countries in the region and their direction depends on the pattern of trade. Countries exporting energy commodities (crude oil, natural gas, and coal) display the largest terms-of-trade deterioration (about 33 percent), while agricultural commodity exporting countries register a terms-of-trade improvement of about 1 percent. For the latter group, the weakening of their agricultural prices was more than offset by their gains from the lower prices of their fuel imports. Metals and minerals exporters show a modest terms of trade loss of 6 percent. Again, the weakening of their corresponding metals and minerals prices (about 7 percent) is softened by lower energy prices. The data seem to corroborate the fact that many agricultural exporters, as well as metals and minerals exporters, are net oil importers in SSA. Looking at groups of countries that have risks other than (or including) commodity price risks, the terms of trade of fragile and conflict-affected states is expected to deteriorate by 8 percent, whereas that of heavily indebted poor countries is expected to be worse than (or including) commodity price risks, the terms of trade of fragile and conflict-affected states is expected to deteriorate by 8 percent, whereas that of heavily indebted poor countries is expected to deteriorate by about 4 percent. In both groups, the main driver of the deterioration of the terms of trade is the adverse impact of the price of energy commodities—notably, oil and natural gas—whose contribution exceeds 90 percent of the total terms-of-trade effect.

Table 2.2 shows that the terms of trade of the region as a whole deteriorates with the downward revision of commodity prices for 2016; however, there is a great degree of cross-country heterogeneity. Figure 2.1

---

6 There are other caveats to this initial approximation of the terms-of-trade effects of commodity prices. First, the trade structure considered in the assessment of the terms-of-trade effects was computed over the period 2011–13. However, extraction from recent discoveries of nonrenewable resources may change these structures over time. For instance, in 2013 tungsten became the major commodity export of Rwanda. Second, the calculation of the terms-of-trade effect involves average prices in international markets. As they are considered for a broad group of countries, these prices may not necessarily match those received by the country. For instance, there are some discrepancies in the variation of Kolkata and Mombasa tea prices. Third, the price change used is the expected percentage variation in the international price of each commodity for 2015, that is, the price predicted for 2015 compared with the actual price for 2014. This forecast might differ from actual year-on-year or year-to-date changes in commodity prices up to March 2015. Fourth, sharp declines in commodity prices may not be distinguished from more secular downward trends if the time horizon over which the price change is longer. Calculating the average annual variation in commodity prices over three- or four-year periods will conceal the sharp decline in oil prices over the past nine months. Finally, the basket of commodities excludes those goods whose price is not well formed and hence, that are classified as manufacturing goods. For instance, the calculation excludes exports of aluminium bars in Mozambique, refined copper in the Democratic Republic of Congo and Zambia, as well as exports or imports of refined petroleum in some African countries.

---

### TABLE 2.2: Terms-of-Trade Deterioration in SSA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Agriculture</th>
<th>Metals &amp; Minerals</th>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Overall TOT Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-15.98</td>
<td>-15.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of which:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural exporters*</td>
<td>-1.55</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metals and minerals exporters</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>-6.78</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy exporters</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>-34.47</td>
<td>-33.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Other covariate risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOT Effect due to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fragile states</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIPC</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Memo: Terms of Trade (TOT) gainers and losers (GDP-weighted)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TOT Effect due to:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOT losers</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOT gainers</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Note: The aggregate terms-of-trade effect is the GDP-weighted average of the net exports of commodity j for country c times the percentage variation in the international price of commodity j. The sum is calculated for all commodities for each country. Agricultural exporters are those with a share of agricultural commodity exports in total merchandise exports that exceeds 25 percent of GDP. The same threshold is applied for countries exporting energy and metals and minerals. GDP = gross domestic product; HIPC = Heavily Indebted Poor Countries; SSA = Sub-Saharan Africa; TOT = terms of trade; * Agricultural exporters list is from Appendix I, Africa’s Pulse, Volume 11, April 2015.
The distribution of terms-of-trade gains and losses is asymmetric. For instance, terms-of-trade losers (31 of 48 SSA countries) are predicted to have an average loss of about 26 percent. The terms-of-trade gainers only registered a meager increase of about 2 percent. Illustrates the estimated terms-of-trade changes for 48 countries in the region with data available for commodity exports and imports as well as international prices. The region can be classified into three groups according to terms-of-trade exposure to price declines: (a) vulnerable countries (those with terms-of-trade deterioration that exceeded 10 percent), (b) less vulnerable (with terms-of-trade decline less than 10 percent), and (c) terms of trade gainers (with positive change in their terms of trade as a result of a price shock to the commodity basket). According to the simulation, the distribution of gains and losses in the terms of trade across countries in the region is asymmetric. For instance, terms-of-trade losers (31 out of 48 SSA countries) are predicted to have an average loss of about 17 percent. These countries represent 63 percent of the region Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and about 74 percent of its population. The terms-of-trade gainers, by contrast, only registered a meager increase of about 1 percent.

The group of vulnerable countries consists of 12 countries with terms-of-trade losses that are expected to exceed 10 percent. The countries house nearly 36 percent of the region’s population and represent about half of its economic activity. For most of the countries in this group, lower energy prices are the culprit of their adverse terms-of-trade effect. Seven countries in this group are oil-rich countries, namely, Angola, Chad, Equatorial Guinea, Gabon, Nigeria, the Republic of Congo, and South Sudan. The Democratic Republic of Congo and Guinea have also been affected by lower oil prices, although natural gas also played a significant role in the former. For the rest of the countries in this group (Liberia, Mauritania, and Sierra Leone), weaker iron ore prices help explain the terms-of-trade deterioration—a pronounced decline in rubber prices also played a role in the case of Liberia.
The group of less vulnerable countries is formed by 19 countries that registered terms-of-trade losses that did not exceed 10 percent. The group represents more than 15 percent of the region’s GDP and about 40 percent of its population. Weaker prices of metals and minerals and agricultural commodities play a more important role in this group. For instance, not only oil but also gold prices contributed to the deterioration of the terms of trade in Ghana (9 percent). Weaker gold prices primarily drove the adverse terms-of-trade effect in Benin, Burkina Faso, Mali, Somalia, and Tanzania. Lower coffee prices help explain the deterioration in Burundi, Ethiopia, and Rwanda.

The group of terms of trade gainers comprises 17 countries with terms-of-trade gains. More than 25 percent of SSA’s population lives in this group of countries, which produces about 36 percent of the region’s GDP. The magnitude of the terms-of-trade gains is considerably smaller than the losses in the vulnerable countries: they fluctuate between 0.1 percent (Lesotho) and 7.8 percent (Eritrea). The majority of countries in this group benefited from cheaper energy prices; however, these gains were partly offset by decreased prices for non-energy commodities in some countries. For instance, gains from lower oil prices in Botswana and South Africa were partly offset by weaker prices of iron ore and nickel, respectively.

Finally, the value of commodity production for the vulnerable countries is expected to decline in 2016, and this will have direct growth effects. The impact on growth will be magnified by second-run effects associated with lower investment in resource-based activities and reduced expenditure by income earners in these industries. The currency depreciation that ensues from the lower commodity prices might boost growth in non-resource-based activities; however, the negative effect may dominate.

**Macroeconomic Impacts**

Swings in commodity prices will have an impact on economic activity in SSA countries. The extent of this impact will depend on whether the country is a commodity importer or a commodity exporter. For instance, lower export proceeds and government revenues as a result of a decline in oil prices will explain the deterioration of the current account balance and the fiscal balance of oil exporting nations as well as their level of economic activity.

To assess the macroeconomic impact of changes in commodity prices, two different scenarios are considered: scenario 1 where only the price of oil changes, and scenario 2 where all commodity prices change simultaneously. The simulations are undertaken using a global macroeconomic model, an integrated version of the iSim country models. This model endogenizes the global link variables, which are designed to capture the second round or repercussion effects, namely, the effects of the export market, effective exchange rates, and global commodity prices.

Under scenario 1 (only the price of oil changes), the 2016 level of GDP for the SSA region is projected to be 0.7 percent below the baseline. It can be inferred that the cost for oil exporting countries in the region far exceeds the benefits for the oil importing countries. A downward revision in the terms of trade and an expected depreciation of the exchange rate for 2016 results in nominal GDP that is about

---

7 This model uses the same set of equations and setting as the iSimulate platform.
8 The same finding holds for the Middle East and North Africa region.
3.8 percent below the baseline. The plunge in oil prices also predicts current account and fiscal balances for 2016 that are about 1.9 and 1.7 percentage points lower than the baseline, respectively.

Under scenario 2 all commodity prices change. In the case of SSA, the changes in commodity prices (energy, agriculture, and metals) lead to a lower level of real GDP by about 0.8 percent. Including changes in relative prices, the level of nominal GDP is about 4.1 percentage points lower. However, the losses of commodity dependent nations in the region (in export basket and government revenues) tend to offset the potential gains of commodity importers. The current account and fiscal balance in the region deteriorate by about 2 and 1.7 percentage points, respectively, relative to the baseline.

These findings show that the impact of changes in commodity prices can be different across countries—say, oil exporters vis-à-vis oil importers. Hence, it is expected that these effects may be different across countries in SSA. Figures 2.2 through 2.4 plot the impact on real GDP, the current account balance, and the fiscal balance for SSA countries of commodity price changes under scenario 1 (only oil price changes) and scenario 2 (all commodities). Figure 2.2 plots the real GDP response to changes in commodity prices: oil abundant countries exhibit the largest declines in real economic activity (Angola, Gabon, and Nigeria), while the decline in Mozambique is attributed not only to the plunge in crude oil, but also in the price of aluminum. The level of GDP of most of the African countries in 2016 under both scenarios is about 0.2–0.5 percent below the baseline. In the case of South Africa, the gains from the plunge in oil prices are partly offset by lower prices of metals and minerals (such as iron ore and gold). Still, South Africa’s GDP for 2016 is 0.2 percent above the baseline under scenario 2. Finally, the countries with the largest gains from commodity price changes are Côte d’Ivoire and Senegal (about 0.3 and 0.5 percent above the baseline, respectively). Finally, the distribution of gains and losses in real economic activity is uneven: losses are considerably larger than benefits.

Figures 2.3 and 2.4 depict the responses of the current account balance and the fiscal balance, respectively. Again, the oil abundant countries (Angola, Gabon, and Nigeria) are among the countries with the largest deterioration in the current account and fiscal balance. However, Angola shows the largest deterioration, with 12.1 percentage points in the current account and 5.3 percentage points in the fiscal balance (under scenario 2). Mozambique also shows a large deterioration in both balances (4.9 and 1.8 percentage points for the current account and fiscal balance, respectively). Senegal and Côte d’Ivoire show an improvement in the current account (0.3 and 0.9

---

9 The global macroeconomic model (MFMod) uses a 2011 trade matrix. This may understate the terms of trade shock for countries with recent discoveries and production of natural resources; for instance, oil production in Ghana started in 2011.
percentage points, respectively), while their fiscal performance is not as good compared with the SSA sample. Finally, South Africa shows a slight improvement of about 0.2 percentage point in the current account and the fiscal balance. The larger improvement under scenario 1 for South Africa is partly offset by a decline in some of its major commodity exports (such as iron ore and gold, among others).

Finally, the plunge in oil prices will have a large adverse impact on countries where: (a) crude oil accounts for a substantial share of the export basket, and (b) the proceeds from oil production (and exports) represent a larger portion of government revenues. At the same time, the fiscal impact can be even larger if the government needs to bail out highly-leveraged state-owned energy companies.

Lower Oil Prices Are Impacting the Investment Decisions of Oil Companies

Falling oil prices are putting a strain on the balance sheets of highly-leveraged oil companies.\(^\text{10}\) The declining international price of oil is not only reducing firms’ profits, it is also having a negative impact on the value of their assets. Since June 2014, investors have lost more than US$150 billion in the value of the 1,278 actively traded (oil and gas company) bonds in global markets. Furthermore, the stock market value of the 300 largest oil and gas companies has declined by about US$2.3 trillion since June 2014—about 40 percent in terms of lost value.\(^\text{11}\)

These developments have led to rising financial strain and downsizing among oil and gas companies. Financing costs are increasing as spreads on high-yield energy bonds have sharply increased from 330

---

\(^\text{10}\) An environment that combined high oil prices with a zero interest rate policy and unconventional liquidity measures by the monetary authorities of high-income economies created the incentives for investors to search for yields, thus shifting their portfolios to riskier assets. In this context, the rapid expansion of exploration and production activities among oil and energy companies was fueled by a sharp increase in their leverage.

basis points in June 2014 to more than 800 basis points in February 2015. Meanwhile, the reduced cash flow from production (as a result of falling oil prices) is raising the risk of illiquidity, and may render some energy companies unable to meet their financial obligations. To meet their debt payments, oil and gas companies are increasing their production levels, even as the international price of oil continues sliding.

Falling oil prices and high leverage among firms in the industry may restrict the ability to finance ongoing and prospective investments. It is more likely that capital expenditure may fall at a faster place for companies with large financial obligations and reduced cash flow from current and future sales. Several companies have announced or are already cutting capital expenditure at rates between 30 and 50 percent.

The commodity price shock imposed in the calculation of the terms-of-trade effect and the simulated impact on real economic activity as well other indicators of macroeconomic performance assumes a revision in the forecasts of commodity prices. More generally, it compares the 2016 Q1 forecasts for the international price of a wide array of primary commodities for 2016 relative to the forecasts in 2015 Q3. That is, it compares the forecasts published by the World Bank Commodity Market Outlook in January 2016 relative to the forecasts published in October 2015. For instance, the average price of oil for 2016 has experienced a downward revision, from US$61.3 per barrel for 2015 Q3 to US$37 per barrel for 2016 Q1. This implies a reduction of nearly 40 percent in the forecast for the price of crude oil relative to that of 2015 Q3.

Tables B2.1.1 and B2.1.2 present the changes in the 2015 and 2016 prices for commodities as of January 2016 vis-à-vis their corresponding forecasts as of October 2015. Table B2.1.2 presents the 2016 forecast revisions for the 13 commodities used for the macroeconomic simulations. Clearly, crude oil and natural gas have experienced a sharp downward revision as well as the international price of selected metals (such as iron ore and zinc). The revisions in food prices have been relatively small, with the exception of wheat.

The simulation on the impact of commodity prices on the terms of trade involves a wider basket of commodities (37): three energy commodities (crude oil, natural gas, and coal), 10 metals and
minerals (aluminum, copper, gold, iron ore, lead, nickel, silver, steel, tin, and zinc), and 24 agricultural commodities (bananas, beef, cocoa, coffee, cotton, groundnuts, groundnut oil, logs, maize, oranges, palm oil, plywood, rice, rubber, sawn wood, sorghum, soy meal, soy oil, soybeans, sugar, tea, tobacco, wheat, and wood pulp). Using the trade values (export and import) of the region for these commodities and the price shock, energy commodities experienced the largest reduction from 2015Q3 (about 36 percent), followed by metals and minerals (with a reduction of about 17 percent) and agricultural commodities (about 6 percent cut in the forecast).

### TABLE B2.1.2: Episodes of Plunging Oil Prices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Commodities</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum, crude</td>
<td>-11.7</td>
<td>-39.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural gas</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>-23.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron ore</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron Ore</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>-6.6</td>
<td>-16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>-9.1</td>
<td>-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>-15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Food</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>-3.5</td>
<td>-7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soy beans</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Section 3: Opening Doors to the World: Can African Cities Deliver on the Promise of Growth?\(^1\)

As Africa undergoes rapid urban growth, there is a narrow window of opportunity to harness the potential of cities as engines of economic growth. The rapid decline in oil and commodity prices has adversely affected resource-rich countries and signaled an urgent need for economic diversification in Africa. Urbanization and well-managed cities provide a major opportunity to offer a springboard for diversification. Although it is not possible to predict the specific sector opportunities for diversification, the investments chosen need to be generic, supporting many specific activities. Cities are just such generic capital: virtually whatever niches prove to be viable, they will take place in cities, and their success will require that cities work efficiently.

The growth of cities, when well managed, can spur economic growth and productivity in two ways. One, by boosting incentives for investment through higher economic density and proximity—to support clusters of firms, and to connect workers with firms more efficiently. Two, by making cities more livable for poor and middle-class residents, through access to services, amenities, and housing. Through both channels, a successfully developed city offers firms the incentives of agglomeration and high returns on investment (largely by keeping a rein on workers’ living costs).

In contrast to these known features of well-developed cities, Africa’s cities today are predominantly local: they lack a regional or global reach. That is because they have been on a different development trajectory—one that poses excessive costs to residents and firms. Recent research by the World Bank on the spatial development of African cities\(^2\) shows that cities in Africa today cannot be characterized as economically dense, connected, and livable. Instead, they are crowded, disconnected, and costly for households and firms.

African cities can easily support only small and local businesses, usually offering nontradable products and services. The underlying challenge facing these cities is found in constraints on the functioning of key factor markets. Essential structures for urban economic density and expansion into global markets are lacking or inadequate. Specifically, the typical African city lacks the structures of human, institutional, and productive capacity that well-developed cities take for granted. In particular, economic density cannot rise until authorities take decisive action to strengthen institutions governing the transfer, valuation, and use of land. In addition, Africa’s cities lacked policy coordination needed for well-conceived infrastructure based on early, integrated planning and investment. Such coordination is all the more urgently needed because levels of capital investment have lagged behind the pace of urban growth, as Africa urbanizes at lower incomes than those of other developing economies.

---

\(^1\) This section draws on research being carried out for the World Bank Africa Regional Study on the Spatial Development of African Cities, led by Somik V. Lall with a core team that includes Paolo Auwer, Juliana Aguilar, Olivia D’Souza, Chyi-yun Huang, Rawaa Harati, Nancy Lozano, and Shohei Nakamura. The research has been cosponsored by the UK DFID through the MDTF for Sustainable Urban Development TF071544.

To build cities that work—cities that are livable, connected, and affordable, and therefore economically dense—policy makers will need to direct attention toward the deeper structural problems that misallocate land, fragment development, and limit productivity. Local and national governments are called to reform land markets and urban regulations, and coordinate early infrastructure investments. At the heart of these efforts is a key principle: common knowledge. Only with common knowledge can all parties anticipate the results of their actions and the likely returns on their investment.

Successful urbanization will also support Africa’s agricultural and rural transformation by effectively absorbing the labor being released by these sectors, providing a market for agricultural produce, and financing further transformation and commercialization. If managed well, urbanization will not be a sub-plot, but rather the main policy narrative for Africa’s development.

Introduction

Urbanization is a source of dynamism that can enhance productivity and increase economic integration—a principle evident from the experience of today’s high-income countries and rapidly emerging economies. Indeed, during the Industrial Age, no country has achieved sustained increases in national income without urbanization.

If well managed, cities can help countries accelerate growth and “open the doors” to global markets in two ways:

- By creating productive environments that attract international investment and increase economic efficiency
- By creating livable environments that prevent urban costs from rising excessively with increased densification.

By generating agglomeration economies, cities can enhance productivity and spur innovation and national economic diversification. The underlying reason for this is economic density.

The simplest case of agglomeration economies through economic density is the reduction of transport costs for goods. If a supplier locates near customers, shipping costs decline. Many agglomeration benefits increase with scale. Towns and small cities cannot generate the same productive advantages as larger cities. International evidence reveals that the elasticity of income with respect to city population is between 3 and 8 percent (Rosenthal and Strange 2004). Each doubling of city size increases productivity by 5 percent.

Productivity gains are closely linked to urbanization through their ties to structural transformation and industrialization. As countries urbanize, workers move from rural to urban areas in search of better paid jobs.

---

3 In the early 1900s, London and New York were manufacturing powerhouses because factories located there to be close to customers and transport infrastructure. In the late nineteenth century, four-fifths of Chicago’s jobs were compactly located within four miles of State and Madison Streets, close to where people lived and infrastructure was located (Grover and Lall 2015).
and more productive jobs. Similarly, entrepreneurs locate their firms in cities where agglomeration economies will increase their productivity. Close spatial proximity has many benefits. Certain public goods—like infrastructure and basic services—are cheaper to provide when populations are large and densely packed together. Firms located near each other can share suppliers, lowering input costs. Thick labor markets reduce search costs, as firms have a larger pool of workers to choose from whenever they need to hire additional labor. And spatial proximity makes it easier for workers to share information and learn from each other. International evidence shows that knowledge spillovers play a key role in boosting the productivity of successful cities.

Given these potential economic benefits of cities, it is not surprising that urbanization and economic development go hand in hand. The evidence from China, the Republic of Korea, and Vietnam in East Asia clearly highlights the close association between episodes of rapid urbanization and economic development. Unfortunately, these links appear to be weak in Africa (figure 3.1). African cities are not delivering agglomeration economies or reaping urban productivity benefits. Instead they suffer from high food, housing, and transport costs. These high costs arise from coordination failures, poorly designed policies, weak property rights, and other factors that lower economic density. As a consequence, African cities lack the scale economies associated with tradable activity. Potential investors and entrepreneurs are faced with low expectations for the scale of urban production and for the size of any return on investment. Although low expected scale and returns are not the only ways in which low expectations harm Africa’s cities (box 3.1), such pessimism points to high urban costs as a central development challenge. These costs lock firms into producing nontradable goods and services—keeping the cities out of global markets, and restricting them instead to the provision of products for local hinterland consumption.

Evidence from China, the Republic of Korea, and Vietnam shows the close association between episodes of rapid urbanization and economic development, but these links appear weaker in African countries.

Source: World Bank staff calculations based on World Development Indicators.

FIGURE 3.1: Urbanization and Economic Development
Strengthening the link between urbanization and economic development in Africa is particularly urgent to manage two regional “megatrends”:

- **Rapid urban growth.** Cities in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) are quickly gaining in population. Urban areas in Africa contain 472 million people. That number will double over the next 25 years. The largest cities grow as fast as 4 percent annually. Productive jobs, affordable housing, and efficient infrastructure will be urgently needed for residents and newcomers alike.

- **Rapid decline in oil and commodity prices,** highlighting the urgency for economic diversification. Although it is not possible to predict the specific sector opportunities for diversification, the investments chosen need to be generic, supporting many specific activities. Cities are just such generic capital: virtually whatever niches prove to be viable will take place in cities, and their success will require that cities work efficiently. For example, in Nigeria, the triple impact—of a long-term drop in oil prices, low levels of non-oil-based internally generated revenues, and a growing infrastructure deficit—poses an increasingly urgent investment challenge.

---

**BOX 3.1:**

**Low Expected Scale and Returns: Why African Cities Are Locked into Nontradables**

The typical low-expectations trap is illustrated in figure B3.1.1 by City N, which produces only nontradable goods and services in various sectors (government, retail, construction, nontraded manufactures, and informal sector services). Demand comes from income made in the city, or in the hinterland (resource rents), or transferred from outside (tax revenues, foreign aid). There are two urban wage curves: the nontradable wage curve slopes downward, reflecting the likely decreasing returns to nontradable production, and the tradable wage curve slopes upward, reflecting the likely increasing returns to scale in the tradables sector. The urban cost curve slopes upward, reflecting urban costs that rise as City N grows larger. Only if the wage in City N were lower than or equal to the international wage would the urban cost curve be flatter then City N would be able to break into global markets and compete with international firms.

---

**FIGURE B3.1.1:** A “Local” City: High Urban Wage Costs and Lack of Tradables Production

Source: Venables 2016.

Note: CBD = central business district.

---

4 The tax share of revenue in Nigeria averaged 30 percent over 2003–12, far below the 80 percent average for the country’s level of development.
There are two key sets of challenges that need to be successfully resolved to strengthen the links between urbanization and economic transformation in Africa:

- **Enable the functioning of key factor and product markets alongside effectively regulating market distortions.**
  
  - Institutions governing the *transfer, valuation, and use* of land are central for building economic density and enabling Africa’s cities to support dense clusters of firms and be nimble in responding to changing economic circumstances.
  
  - Institutions managing the movement of people and products between rural and urban areas and between cities can support an integrated network of settlements.

- **Coordinate and scale up investments in urban infrastructure** (transport, communications, and basic services), which are grossly inadequate and insufficiently aligned with plans for housing and investment in commercial and industrial structures.

Delays in addressing these challenges will lock African cities into development paths where the agglomeration benefits from economic density, thick labor markets, and livable urban environments will not be realized. In contrast, African cities will follow their current path of being crowded, disconnected, and costly:

- **Crowded, not economically dense**—lacking structures for residents who live in unplanned downtown settlements amid a lack of formal, affordable housing.

- **Disconnected**, developing as a collection of small, fragmented, and disconnected neighborhoods, towns, and cities.

- **Costly for households and firms**, leading to their avoidance by regional and global investors and potential trading partners.

The physically unstructured appearance of African cities, seen from the ground, reflects underlying distortions in the functioning of key factor and product markets, and lack of crucial infrastructure, housing, and commercial structures. The effects include scattered firms, failure of labor market pooling, limited specialization across settlements, and a practical restriction to nontradable as opposed to tradable activity. Further, the cities’ evident disarray keeps them in a second low-expectations trap: that of underinvestment in their urban form (box 3.2).

The growth of cities will be central to development in Africa as elsewhere. But for urbanization to bring the benefits that it should, cities will need to offer incentives to investors through agglomeration and higher productivity. Cities also must become more livable for their residents by offering services, amenities, and housing for the poor and the middle class. Mayors and ministries will need to resolve structural problems that misallocate land and labor, fragment development, and limit productivity. Starting with reforms to land markets and regulations, while increasing and coordinating early infrastructure investments, African governments can build cities that work. Successful urbanization will also support Africa’s agricultural and rural transformation by effectively absorbing the labor being released by these sectors, providing a market for agricultural produce, and financing further transformation and commercialization.
A major constraint on Africa’s urban development has been the high cost of doing business in African cities. This costliness lowers expected returns for investors and entrepreneurs. But not all of the low expectations that limit urban development arise directly from the cost of doing business. Others can arise from a city’s crowded, unlivable appearance, as well as its fragmented physical layout and the disconnectedness of its people—from each other, from their jobs, and from regional and global markets.

The role of these wider expectations in urban development underlies a theoretical model, developed by Anthony Venables (Oxford University) and J. Vernon Henderson (London School of Economics), which looks at the links between a city’s form and function. Specifically, the model relates a city’s *built fabric*, which shapes rental and commuting costs, to its *mix of productive activities*, which determines urban wages, productivity, and labor demand.

In the Venables-Henderson model, changes in a city’s physical environment largely follow the size and frequency of investments in its durable structures. But these investments depend, in turn, on investors’ expectations about future urban growth. Thus, a circular problem arises. The future efficiency of a city’s economy—which will influence future income and rent levels—is determined partly by property developers’ investment decisions; and these decisions are unlikely to be optimal until large numbers of developers expect future efficiency to be achieved.

In Africa, this circle of investments and expectations has become a vicious one. Because developers have low expectations, African cities are built at low density and without coordination among governments and firms—coordination that is necessary for early and effective infrastructure investment. As a result, costs are higher. And the steeper is the urban cost curve, the higher is the nominal wage that must be paid to cover the high cost of living for workers (see box 3.1). So cities fail to achieve agglomeration economies, and businesses do not scale up. But this very fact keeps investors’ expectations depressed, trapping the cities in a low-expectations equilibrium.


**Crowded, Disconnected, Costly: The Urbanization of People without Capital**

Typical African cities share three features that constrain urban development and create daily challenges for residents: they are crowded, disconnected, and costly. These features of Africa’s cities appear in economic data and in evidence of urban land use from satellite imagery—while an ordinary visitor can also observe them from the ground. Together they illustrate a central challenge: in Africa, the urbanization of capital lags far behind that of people.

**CROWDED CITIES**

In principle, urbanization should benefit people and businesses through increased economic density. A worker in an economically dense area can commute more easily and consume more diverse products. Firms clustered in cities should be able to access a wider market of inputs and buyers—and scale economies should reduce firms’ production costs, in turn benefiting consumers. Further, population density is generally and strongly correlated with indicators of livability: a pattern that holds in Africa as elsewhere (Gollin, Kirchberger, and Lagakos 2015).
Yet Africa’s cities are not economically dense or efficient. Instead, they are crowded and unlivable. A majority of urban residents live in packed, informal settlements with inadequate infrastructure or access to basic services. Two in three people in Lagos, Nigeria, dwell in slums (World Bank 2015a). Although households in densely populated areas in Africa are better off than rural households in accessing services, the mere fact of population density does not guarantee a livable environment.

Why should a majority of people in Africa’s cities live in slums? The immediate explanation is that the urbanization of people is not accompanied by the urbanization of capital (box 3.3). Housing, infrastructure, and other capital investments are lacking. Across SSA, housing investment lags urbanization by nine years (Dasgupta, Lall, and Lozano-Gracia 2014).

Capital investment in Africa has remained relatively low for the past 40 years, at around 20 percent of gross domestic product (GDP). In contrast, urbanizing countries in East Asia—China, Japan, and the Republic of Korea—stepped up capital investment during their periods of rapid urbanization. Between 1980 and 2011, China’s capital investment (infrastructure, housing, and office buildings) rose from 35 to 48 percent of GDP, while the urban share of its population rose from 18 to 52 percent between 1978 and 2012. In East Asia as a whole, capital investment remained above 40 percent of GDP at the end of this period.

Housing investment in Africa has also lagged behind that in other low- and middle-income economies. Between 2001 and 2011, African low-income countries invested 4.9 percent of GDP in housing, compared with 5.5 percent elsewhere; and African middle-income countries invested 6.5 percent of GDP in housing, compared with 9 percent elsewhere (Dasgupta, Lall, and Lozano-Gracia 2014).

These figures underline the fact that Africa is urbanizing while poor—indeed, strikingly poorer than other developing regions with similar urbanization levels. In 1968, when countries in the Middle East and North Africa region became 40 percent urban, their per capita GDP was US$1,800 (2005 constant dollars). And in 1994, when countries in the East Asia and Pacific region surpassed the same threshold, their per capita GDP was US$3,600. In Latin America and the Caribbean, this threshold was passed in 1950, at a per capita income of US$1,860. By contrast, Africa, with 40 percent urbanization, today has a per capita GDP of just US$1,000 (figure B3.3.1).
Because cities lack adequate formal housing within reach of jobs and transport systems to connect people who live farther away, people forgo services and amenities to live in cramped quarters near downtown districts. Areas such as Kibera, in Nairobi, and Tandale, in Dar es Salaam, are constantly growing because—although informal—they are relatively central. They also make for crowded cities. Throughout Dar es Salaam, 28 percent of residents are living at least three to a room; in Abidjan, it is a full 50 percent (World Bank 2015).

Related to the predominance of informal housing near African city centers is their relative lack of built-up area. For example, in Harare, Zimbabwe, and Maputo, Mozambique, more than 30 percent of land within five kilometers of the central business district remains unbuilt. The land near the core in African cities is not left unbuilt by design, unlike in well-developed downtowns, such as Paris, where 14 percent of downtown land is not built up by design (to reserve green space to make densely populated districts more livable). Instead, outdated, poorly enforced city plans and dysfunctional property markets create inefficient land use patterns that no one intended: the downtown lacks structures, yet it is crowded.

Analysis of imagery from satellites and geographic information systems confirms that in African cities, capital investment not only appears low near the urban core, but also rapidly declines outside it. A strong contrast emerges between patterns of downtown population density—in which Africa resembles other regions (figure 3.2; Johannesburg and Lagos are exceptions)—and economic density, reflected in indicators of capital investment visible from above (figure 3.3). In figure 3.3, cities with higher economic density and slower economic density drop-offs—reflecting systematically high urban capital investment—should cluster in the lower right quadrant. But African cities cluster in the upper left quadrant: their economic densities are low, with rapid drop-offs outside the city center. Africa’s cities have low peak economic densities and a small economic reach.

Africa’s generally low levels of urban capital investment also appear in the assessed worth of building stock. For example, the total economic value of buildings in Dar es Salaam is estimated at around US$12
billion (Ishizawa and Gunasekara 2016). That is just less than three times the city’s share of gross domestic product (GDP). Even lower are the estimated values for Nairobi, Kenya (US$9 billion) and Kigali, Rwanda (US$2 billion). Compared with cities in Central America, African cities have low replacement values for their built-up area, built floor area, and population. For instance, Nairobi has the highest replacement value per square kilometer among the four African cities studied, yet it is just 60 percent of the value of Tegucigalpa, which has the lowest among six Central American cities. The capital investment shortfall appears in all building types, but it is most severe in housing: in Nairobi, commercial and industrial structures explain 55 percent of the total value of building stock, although these structures occupy just 4 percent of the city’s area. Residential development is urgently lacking.

Well-developed cities are productive, and their inhabitants are economically better off. The reason is not their high population density. Rather, it is their high economic density: labor and capital are geographically concentrated. The low economic density of Africa’s cities makes them crowded—and less productive than they should be, as their lack of capital makes them inefficient and keeps their economies local.

**DISCONNECTED CITIES**

Even as Africa’s cities are crowded—dense with inhabitants, but not capital—they are also physically dispersed. They develop as collections of small, scattered neighborhoods. Without adequate roads or transport systems, commuting is slow and costly, so workers lack access to jobs in the larger urban area. Generally, people and firms are disconnected from each other and from economic opportunity.

The lack of connections among neighborhoods means that African cities, compared with developed and developing cities in other regions, exhibit lower exposure and higher fragmentation in the intensity of daytime land use near the city center.

- **Low exposure** means that people are disconnected from each other. At a set distance (usually 10 kilometers), they cannot interact with as many people as in a city with higher exposure.

- **High fragmentation** means that within a specified area, population varies widely, with scattered peaks rather than the clustered ones that could enhance scale economies. Fragmentation increases infrastructure costs, and it lengthens travel times between homes, job sites, and businesses.

According to a new study of 265 cities in 70 countries that controls for total population and per capita GDP, exposure near the center during daytime hours is about 40 percent lower in African cities than in Asian and Latin American ones (Henderson and Nigmatulina forthcoming). In addition, African cities are 20 percent more fragmented: an example is the contrast between Nairobi, Kenya, and Pune, India (figure 3.4).6

One pattern that explains the low exposure and high fragmentation of African cities is their relative lack of new development near the center. New construction is not located in areas that would make capital
more concentrated and increase economic density. Instead, it tends to push the boundaries of the city outward. Urban development can occur in three ways: expansion, leapfrog, and infill:

- **Expansion** development occurs at the edge of the consolidated urban area, enlarging a city’s footprint.

- **Leapfrog** development occurs in unbuilt areas that do not border or intersect with existing development.

- **Infill** development is new development on unbuilt parcels within a city’s denser, more central area.

Among these three types of new development, infill does the most to increase exposure, or connections among people: it defragments the city. According to our analysis of satellite images for 21 African cities over 2000–10, between 46 and 77 percent of new development was expansion, with typically much less infill. An even greater concern is the large number of leapfrog patches now appearing outside various cities. In Bamako and Maputo, patches of leapfrog development account for more than half of the total new patches over 2000–10; in many other cities, this share approaches or exceeds 40 percent (figure 3.5). The patches often being small scale, their isolation from existing development will undermine city governments’ efforts to provide the networked services that require scale economies—and that undergird urban productivity.

The prevalence of expansion and particularly leapfrog development is just one pattern that makes urban commuting challenging in African cities; another is deficient transport infrastructure. Traffic congestion cripples the economy in cities such as Nairobi, where the average journey-to-work time is one of the world’s longest among 15 cities (IBM 2011). Part of the reason for long commuting times is that a large share of commuting is done on foot: in Nairobi, about 41 percent (UNEP and FIA Foundation 2013). But even if more city dwellers could afford transport by car or minibus, commutes would remain impractical.
for lack of roads. In eight representative African cities, roads occupy far lower shares of urban land than in other cities around the world. In addition to being low, urban road infrastructure is disproportionately clustered near the center of African cities, according to the study of satellite images. The images show that in well-developed cities outside Africa, land allocated to roads declines only gradually as one looks out from the center toward the periphery: an example is Paris (figure 3.6). By contrast, paved roads in Addis Ababa, Dar es Salaam, Kigali, and Nairobi drop off abruptly, nearly disappearing beyond the most central area (Dakar being a notable exception to the African pattern).

Economically, the ideal city can be viewed as an efficient labor market, matching employers and job seekers through connections (Bertaud 2004). The typical African city fails in this matchmaker role. Its land use is fragmented, its transport infrastructure insufficient—so its residents lack access to jobs. The separation of formal housing areas from commercial and industrial areas, which makes commuting slow and costly, is made worse by an emphasis on expansion as opposed to infill development. The lack of connections within the city stymies agglomeration economies, keeping costs high and closing the doors of African cities to regional and global trade and investment.

Between cities, transport connections enable firms to access local, regional, and global markets—for buying inputs and selling outputs. The connections also give consumers options and, in many cases, better prices. However, domestic product markets are fragmented in many African countries, limiting the ability of secondary cities to expand production and specialize. In Nigeria, despite their having access to

![FIGURE 3.5: Leapfrog Development in African Cities, 1990-2000 and 2000-10](image-url)

Leapfrog development occurs in unbuilt areas that do not border or intersect with existing development. In Bamako and Maputo, leapfrog development accounts for more than half the change in the urban fabric over 2000–2010; in many African cities, this share approaches or exceeds 40 percent.

Paved roads occupy a smaller share of urban land in Africa than elsewhere—and usually drop off abruptly beyond the city center.

Source: Based on Antos, Lozano-Gracia forthcoming, and Lall; and Felkner, Lall, and Lee forthcoming.
a large consumer base throughout the country, most enterprises sell their products only in local markets. High transport costs pose a disproportionate challenge to the tradables sector, helping account for lack of development. Highway accessibility shows large regional variations caused by a mix of poor road conditions, urban congestion, and missing highway and bridge connections. An estimated 40 percent of federal roads, 65 percent of state roads, and 85 percent of local government roads are in poor or bad condition and require rehabilitation or reconstruction (World Bank 2015a). In Côte d'Ivoire, transporters who serve secondary cities face the highest costs. The transport costs are as high as US$0.47 per ton-kilometer (twice the median cost nationally) and are detrimental to the growth of secondary cities and reduce connectivity for economically lagging areas with higher poverty incidence (World Bank 2015).

Across Africa, high transport costs and the relatively modest spatial mobility of people likely have contributed to the limited development of clusters of urban settlements. Evidence from a sample of 21 African countries where census data are available for two time periods shows that urban population is highly concentrated in the largest city within countries, and that there has hardly been any dispersion of urban population toward secondary cities.

**COSTLY CITIES**

Cities in Africa are costly for households, workers, and businesses. The high costs make cities less livable. They also constrain the region’s economy. Because food and building costs are high, families can hardly remain healthy or afford decent housing. Because commuting by vehicle is not only slow but expensive, workers have difficulty finding jobs that match their skills. And workers’ need for higher wages to compensate for the high cost of living makes firms less productive and competitive.

African households face higher costs relative to their per capita GDP than households in other regions. This conclusion emerges from a new study of price level indices at the urban level based on data collected by the International Comparison Program. Comparing price levels in 39 SSA countries with those for countries at similar income levels, the study finds that households in urban Africa pay 20 to 31 percent more overall (figure 3.7). A similar comparison of urban prices—based on data from 125 cities, including nine in SSA (the Economist Intelligence Unit’s Worldwide Cost of Living Survey)—finds African cities to be about 31 percent more costly for households than cities in low- and middle-income countries elsewhere (Nakamura et al. 2016).

The price premium for food is also large (about 35 percent), especially given the high share of African incomes that goes to food. Household surveys conducted over 2003–10 found that the average African urban household spent between 39 and 59 percent of its budget on food; the poorest urban households (households in the first income quintile) spent even higher shares, between 44 and 68 percent.

Housing and transport are particularly costly in urban Africa. Housing prices are about 55 percent higher in urban areas of African countries relative to their income levels. Urban transport, which includes prices of vehicles and transport services, is about 42 percent more expensive in African cities than cities in other countries. Urban workers in SSA also incur high commuting costs, or they simply cannot afford

---

7 The nine African cities are Abidjan, Dakar, Douala, Harare, Johannesburg, Lagos, Lusaka, Nairobi, and Pretoria.
to commute by vehicle, leaving them no option but to commute on foot. The informal, often colorful minibus systems that dominate collective motorized transport in most African cities are far from cost-efficient: the buses’ small size and low load factor (passenger capacity) prevent them from realizing scale economies. For the poorest urban residents especially, the cost of vehicle transport in some cities is plainly prohibitive, as measured in a study from 2008 (figure 3.8). The need to walk to work limits these residents’ access to jobs.

Like households and workers, firms also face high urban costs. Research for this study shows that firms in urban areas of Tanzania and Uganda pay higher nominal wages than those in rural areas (controlling for human capital). The difference is about 30 percent in Tanzanian cities and 50 percent in Ugandan ones (Bernard, D’Aoust, and Jones 2016). However, these estimated nominal wage premiums are similar to those for developed cities in England, Europe, and the United States. So are urban wages in Africa higher than would be expected? After all, cities around the world are associated with higher nominal wages—as they should be, in theory, if urban workers and firms are more productive thanks to agglomeration economies.

Two considerations dispel the notion that African urban workers simply earn more by producing more. First, higher wages need not reflect higher productivity. Although the two are closely related in theory, this relationship breaks down if, say, labor and product markets are not perfectly competitive. Second,
Urban wage premiums should be estimated in real terms—nominal wages are likely to be higher in cities because of higher living costs. For the Tanzanian and Ugandan cities studied, the urban wage premium fades into statistical insignificance after wages are deflated to adjust for spatial differences in the cost of living, and with controls for occupation and industry added to the regression.

In short, the higher nominal wages paid to urban workers in Tanzania and Uganda are likely driven by higher urban living costs—not higher productivity. Urban workers in these countries gain no purchasing power, on average, from living in a city. Instead, firms pay them the wages needed to cover the high urban costs of food, housing, transport, and so on.

Cross-country analysis confirms that manufacturing firms in African cities pay higher wages in nominal terms than urban firms in other countries at comparable development levels (figure 3.9).

Urban productivity does not necessarily go hand in hand with high nominal wages, any more than it follows rapid growth in the local population. Instead, what drives urban productivity is economic density: the city’s ability to benefit from co-located households and firms, efficiently connected. Unfortunately, Africa’s cities today lack economic density and efficient connections, so they impose high costs on workers and—as a result—on businesses. Higher wages mean lower expected returns. The next section examines other cues for low economic expectations in African cities.
Manufacturing firms in African cities pay higher wages in nominal terms than urban firms in other countries at comparable development levels.

**FIGURE 3.9:** Median Manufacturing Wages (Nominal) in African Cities Compared with Cities in Other Developing Countries (Latest data available since 2008)

Source: World Bank staff calculations based on World Bank Enterprise Surveys data.
Note: World Bank Enterprise Survey data use a standard questionnaire that makes them comparable across countries. The sample is stratified by firm size and geographic location, so data are representative at the city level for many countries. This analysis looks only at manufacturing firms based in a country’s largest city; and only firms with five or more employees are interviewed, so the sample is probably representative of firms likely to expand into export markets (although not of the average African firm). More than 10,000 firms were analyzed in 67 cities, including 16 African cities. Nominal wages were converted using 2010 exchange rates.

Out of Service and Closed for Business: Africa’s Urban Low-Development Trap

Because the cities in SSA are crowded, disconnected, and costly, they are burdened with low expectations for the future. An urban area that looks unlivable and unkind, without decent housing and amenities for its residents, might as well post a sign stating: “out of service.” And one that is difficult for commuters and firms because of a fragmented plan, lack of affordable transport, and unexpectedly high labor costs could set up another sign: “closed for business.”

When a city appears “out of service” and “closed for business,” it has entered a low-development trap. Potential investors and trading partners quickly see the signs of the physical and economic dysfunction that constrains public service provision, inhibits labor market pooling and matching, and prevents firms from reaping scale and agglomeration benefits. So these potential partners stay away, fearing low to no returns on their investment. This dynamic will keep Africa’s urban economies undercapitalized—making their development all the more challenging (see box 3.1).
How to escape the trap? Before seeking a way out, city and national governments should recognize the problem for what it is: not simply one of underinvestment leading to low infrastructure, but one of low expectations arising from inadequately planned growth and an ill-considered development path. This section looks more closely at the form and function of African cities to spotlight key inefficiencies and their immediate effects—the signs that warn business away, limiting Africa’s appeal to the business world.

CITIES ARE “OUT OF SERVICE”

From an urban planner’s perspective, three features of African cities directly explain their unlivability and lack of appeal for property developers and investors:

- Inappropriate or unrealistic regulations and opaque guidelines—especially concerning land ownership—impede access to land and discourage the formal development of housing and workplaces, especially in city centers.

- Poor connective infrastructure and the lack of affordable public transit constrain residents’ housing choices, leading to informal squatting near city centers and long commutes from peripheral neighborhoods.

- Ineffective delivery of basic services leaves many residents—in downtown slums and away from the center—lacking the amenities found in more economically successful cities.

Why have urban plans been ineffective? Partly because they are divorced from reality. For example, they do not consider finances, market dynamics and interests, social diversity, or differences among income groups. Moreover, such regulations that are enacted lack built-in implementation mechanisms—while human capacity constraints and financial resource constraints have further weakened their implementation and enforcement. Finally, the plans’ intentions and outcomes are distorted by institutional failure and fragmentation (across sectors and levels), political interference, and insufficient consideration of a city’s political economy.

Systems of land ownership in Africa are often the first and most cumbersome regulatory burden that weighs on urban development. For example, a majority of the land in Kampala, Uganda, operates under a complex land tenure regime that recognizes independent rights over land and structures, giving rise to legal disputes and blocking investment (Muinde 2013). The problem takes a different form in Nigeria, where urban land transactions incur high costs, and inefficient regulations further stymie formal development. In Lagos and Port Harcourt, titling expenses alone can reach 30 percent of construction costs, while total transaction costs range from 12 to 36 percent of a property’s value (World Bank 2015a). As a result, land is developed informally. In Ibadan in 2000, researchers found that 83 percent of homes violated city zoning rules (Arimah and Adeagbo 2000).

Another challenge is the lack of connective infrastructure. Given faster and more affordable transport, more African city dwellers might forgo a downtown location for a large house with better amenities, a few kilometers from the center. However, long commutes are an insuperable obstacle for most residents. Some live on the outskirts of the city, while many others settle for more centrally located informal
housing, the only affordable kind. In Dar es Salaam, for example, people live in Tandale—the informal district—not for its services and amenities, but despite its lack of them. Its central location puts people close to where most jobs and economic opportunities are found. In 2010, Dar es Salaam’s informal housing areas were on average much closer than formal ones to commercial and industrial areas (Antos, Lozano-Gracia, and Lall 2015). In all, more than 60 percent of Africa’s urban population lives in areas with some combination of overcrowding, low-quality housing, and inadequate access to clean water and sanitation (United Nations 2015a). The result is a picture of urban dysfunction that, across the region, keeps expectations low and deters investment.

CITIES ARE “CLOSED FOR BUSINESS”

From an economist’s perspective, the spatial form of African cities has developed in three ways that hurt their productive function—keeping markets inefficient and firms uncompetitive:

► Fragmented land development prevents firms from reaping scale and agglomeration benefits.

► Low investment in infrastructure, housing, and commercial structures further limits cities’ economic size, confining business downtown.

► High urban costs generate economic inefficiencies and necessitate urban workers to be compensated with high wages, making firms less productive.

Fragmented Land Development

The spatially fragmented growth of African cities distances firms and workers from one another. In doing so, it prevents firms from reaping scale and agglomeration benefits. Spatial separation reduces workers’ access to jobs and thus limits firm size; it prevents job market pooling and matching; and it hinders the transfer of skills and knowledge. Although the underlying causes of spatial fragmentation are regulatory and institutional, its effects are material, limiting urban economies.

Scale matters for business—especially in tradable activities, where larger firms can lower unit production costs by investing in efficient technologies. Africa’s anemic tradables sector is related to the small median firm size for cities in the region, compared with cities in other low- and medium-income countries (figure 3.10). Overall, Africa’s urban firms employ 20 percent fewer workers than comparable firms elsewhere (Lacovone, Ramachandran, and Schmidt 2014). Why?

One reason is that in African cities, most workers cannot access employment far from where they live. Walking, cycling, and informal shared transport are the main commuting modes in African cities. But walking is slow, while vehicle commutes are delayed by poor road infrastructure and traffic congestion. A resident of Nairobi, on average, can reach no more than 8 percent of all jobs within 45 minutes. By contrast, in greater London in 2013, this figure was 21.6 percent (nearly one million jobs).

Fragmented development and unfeasible commutes imply that the most accessible urban jobs are those pursued at home—and by oneself. For example, in Kigali, Rwanda, the home is the usual headquarters
for the 72 percent of firms that are run by a single individual. To be sure, formal jobs are concentrated in central Kigali, just as they are in most cities. Other examples include Kampala, Uganda, and Lusaka, Zambia (figure 3.11). But formal jobs tell only part of the story in Kigali, where 97 percent of all firms are informal. Of those informal sector firms, 90 percent engage in nontradable activities dominated by retail; and such nontradable activities are not concentrated in the center. Instead, many spread out from it and are scattered throughout Kigali’s more densely populated areas.

Low Investment in Structures

Considered as a whole, the average urban area in Africa is not strikingly less built-up than its counterparts in other regions (except in Asia, where cities are more densely built; Angel et al. 2011). What is lacking is the concentration of capital and infrastructure investment that enables households to live decently and affordably near jobs.

A downtown dense with structures should in principle create dense demand, enabling specialization—especially in locally traded goods and services—and driving productivity gains. But Africa’s large city centers are dominated by a retail industry that does not benefit from economies of specialization. In Kigali and Kampala, many urban workers purvey food and beverages. Low investment in structures limits a city’s economic density, exacerbating spatial fragmentation and precluding agglomeration economies.

High Urban Costs

Spatially fragmented development and the high cost of living in Africa’s cities necessitate high urban labor costs that make firms less competitive. Firms pay higher nominal wages in African cities than elsewhere—wages that reflect high urban costs, not higher productivity. The need for high wages may result partly from spatially fragmented development and inadequate transport, which together generate economic inefficiencies. In addition, urban workers may need to be compensated for poorer living conditions in slums with scarce amenities. When the urban wage exceeds the international wage, a city can end up specializing entirely in the production of nontradable goods.
High urban labor costs are all the more a concern given the low human capital levels in African cities. Urban agglomeration economies thrive on knowledge spillovers, which in turn presuppose a mix of specialized cognitive skills in the labor market. Such skills are not captured in a narrow measure of educational achievement, as they include tacit knowledge, which is difficult to codify and best imparted in person and in practice. Tacit knowledge is often highly specialized, varying by place, culture, or circumstance: examples include how to remove a brain tumor, how to land a lucrative client, and how to keep an outdated generator running in an outage (Smith 2001). Although measuring tacit knowledge transmission is difficult, new research from Spain suggests that workers gain more valuable skills through on-the-job experience in larger cities than elsewhere (De la Rocha and Puga 2015).

Workers in African cities are relatively poor in cognitive skills, according to results from the first initiative to measure skills in low- and middle-income countries (the World Bank STEP Skills Measurement Program). Cities in Ghana and Kenya, compared with other developing countries, show a distribution of cognitive skills that is denser at the low end of the index and far less dense at the high end. This contrast suggests that African urban workers are not sorting by ability, as they should to generate agglomeration economies. To raise expectations for the future, Africa's cities will need to restructure their labor market by attracting and growing more specialized talent.

Spatially fragmented development, low capital investment, and high labor costs trap urban economies into producing only locally traded
goods and services, curtailing their opportunities for growth. Cities such as Dar es Salaam and Kigali, compared with cities outside Africa, have a much lower share of firms in internationally traded sectors (figure 3.12). Regarded from the outside, even more than from within, African cities indeed appear “closed for business.”

**FIGURE 3.12: Shares of Firms in Internationally Traded and Nontradable Sectors for Selected Cities**

(Latest Data Available since 2010)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Tradable</th>
<th>Non-tradable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gaborone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dar Es Salaam</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kigali</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kampala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dakar</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accra</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanaa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nairobi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lusaka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addis Ababa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harare</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cairo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dhaka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delhi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shenzhen City</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogota</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buenos Aires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chittagong</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lima</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

African cities have a much lower share of firms in internationally traded sectors. Spatially fragmented development, low capital investment, and high labor costs trap urban economies into producing only locally traded goods and services.

Investing in Institutional and Physical Structures to Support Scale Economies in Africa’s Cities

To create an internationally competitive tradables sector, African cities must cease to be crowded, disconnected, and costly, and instead become livable, connected, and productive. How?

The answer lies in swift action by mayors and ministers to enhance the functioning of land markets (the factor market most urgently in need of reform), and to strengthen urban planning, regulation, and enforcement—followed by actions to coordinate and scale up investment in cities’ physical structures and infrastructure. In short, city leaders must make decisive and concerted efforts to:
1. Reform urban land markets and regulations. Leaders can act immediately to improve the institutional and capacitive structures that govern land markets and land use—structures that depend on human capital, and that will ultimately determine a city’s ability to mobilize investment capital. Leaders should do this by:

   - Simplifying and clarifying transfers of property rights among land market participants (freeing these procedures from today’s unclear, overlapping property rights regimes).
   - Supporting the effective management of urban development through foresighted planning, realistic regulation, and predictable enforcement.

2. Coordinate and increase early infrastructure investments. After taking firm and decisive steps to improve institutional structures, authorities can build on those efforts to adapt physical structures and infrastructure—including housing, transport infrastructure (including roads), and basic services—to a future of urban productivity. Leaders should do this by:

   - Making infrastructure investments early, and coordinating them with land market intention reforms and the plans and regulations that guide physical structures (ensuring that infrastructure investments will be integrated with the growth of neighborhoods and structures in predictable ways).
   - Intensifying these early, coordinated infrastructure investments to take full advantage of scale economies in housing, transport, and services (avoiding inefficient and fragmented investments that diverge from market demand).

Both efforts should aim at structural improvements in the allocation of a city’s land, capital, and structures. Their aim should be to achieve urban development at scale and for scale, while fostering economic specialization.

**RECOMMENDATION 1—Reform urban land markets (simplify property rights, strengthen city plans).**

Over the next 20 years, the growth of Africa’s urban populations will propel new demand for infrastructure, housing, and other physical structures, and for amenities. To meet this new demand, city leaders and planners must use adaptable strategies. Plans and regulations should allow the best use of land—but they should also permit uses, and users, to change over time, as demand evolves further. Three key considerations will be how to handle land and property rights, how to value land and manage land prices, and land use and urban planning.

Africa’s cities do not develop in a well-planned fashion, but grow informally—and develop informally—because public planning is ineffective, while private development is hobbled or repelled by opaque or inappropriate regulations. Informal dwellings house not only the poor, but also middle-income households, because of constraints on formal land markets. The same constraints have much to do with the typical African city’s spatial fragmentation and the relatively low capital investment near its core.

The crowded streets of African cities also attest to a lack of formative, integrated urban plans. Traffic congestion stems not just from limited road infrastructure, but also from limited parking and lack of
formal addresses. And the informality and small scale of public and collective transport in African cities indicate that these networks are mostly reactive—they emerge in response to the city’s growth. They do not structure it, as did the introduction of rail infrastructure or street cars in well-developed cities, such as Paris and London (Brooks and Lutz 2013).

Households in African cities find it difficult to locate outside the central business district because the lack of paved roads makes commuting from the periphery impractical (Felkner, Lall, and Lee forthcoming). Increased investments in roads could increase productivity, while affecting commuting costs and times differently across the city—but only if such investments are well thought through in advance. Similarly, African cities have an urgent need for well-planned and forward-thinking transport systems. All transport development plans are not equal.\(^9\)

The lack of physical and technological structures in Africa’s cities—housing, services, and transport—points back to the need for planning capacity. Without proper local planning guidance, it is impossible to coordinate and implement infrastructure and public amenities and other investments. No planning, or poor planning, is one of the fundamental reasons why African cities are too crowded, too disconnected, and too costly to attract regional and global investors and trading partners.

Reforming land markets is the sine qua non for policy efforts to get Africa’s urbanization right. Not only will there be a major payoff in economic efficiency, these reforms will help African cities tap the potential of rising land values to finance infrastructure and other public goods.\(^10\)

**Clarification of Land and Property Rights**

Clear rights to urban land are a precondition for the emergence of a formal land market. Informal, non-legal markets can function in almost any conditions, but informality in land markets is distinctively limiting because—unlike the business of most informal markets—land is an asset. Asset transactions are viable only if the purchaser can rely on some enduring, extra-legal means whereby new ownership is recognized, such as sanction by the local community. In contrast, a formal market does not merely offer purchasers the protection of the state; rather, because transactions are readily observable and recorded, it generates the public good of accurate valuation.

African cities struggle with overlapping and sometimes contradictory property rights systems—formal, customary, and informal. Under the customary rules for land tenure that control much peri-urban and urban land in Africa, property rights depend on the consent of local chiefs or family elders. Examples include cities in Ghana, Lesotho, Mozambique, South Africa, and Zambia.

When barriers to urban land access arise from an overly complex property rights regime, they impede the consolidation of plots and the transfer of land among users—and among uses. Firms cannot readily

---

9 Two contrasting paths for the development of urban mobility appear in the experiences of China and Japan (World Bank 2015c).
10 International experience suggests that strong institutions appear as a precondition for successful implementation of all instruments for tapping land value increases to finance infrastructure. These include institutions that assign and protect property rights, institutions that enable independent valuation and public dissemination of land values across uses, and a strong legal framework supported by a healthy judicial system to handle disputes and oversee the process. Finally, as land-based instruments are considered for financing infrastructure, it is important to recognize the risks associated with them. Real estate markets are highly cyclical and if land financing is used to finance infrastructure broadly (as opposed to focusing only on new development), cyclicality can bring instability to local authorities. Further, large revenues associated with land transactions and urban infrastructure investment create incentives for corruption. Finally, there is the risk that high potential profits from land transactions will transform local authorities into real estate developers, with profit rather than welfare maximization as their main objective.
buy downtown land to convert it from low-density residential use into higher-density apartments, or to build clusters of new commercial structures. Land transactions are long, costly, and complicated. Such market constraints reduce the collateral value of structures, giving developers little incentive to invest in residential height—while tempting all parties to enter informal arrangements (World Bank 2015b).

Unclear land rights are severely constraining urban land redevelopment throughout Africa, imposing high costs. Even where formal titles or clear land rights exist, basic mapping, geographic, or ownership information is often inaccurate or land records are maintained poorly, causing disputes. Applying for formal recognition can also be a tedious process. In Mozambique, one can apply for concession to a land plot from the relevant municipal directorate or municipal cadaster services. But the application can involve as many as 103 administrative steps and may be protracted over several years (UN-Habitat 2008). The lack of a proper registration system prevents urban land markets from functioning well, and creates obstacles to raising capital for development and investment—and to the local authority raising revenue.

Across Africa, land databases and other systems to record information are inadequate and opaque. An African city is likely to struggle with overlapping systems of land tenure—formal, customary, and informal—in a single urban space, where they often conflict with each other and constrain land markets by creating confusion and disputes over land rights. These dysfunctions distort the price and availability of land for efficient urban development. Finally, land administration systems (such as registries and cadaster records) are incomplete and underused for enforcing legal claims and landholders’ fiscal obligations, so lenders cannot always use land as collateral. In SSA, only 10 percent of total land is registered (UN-Habitat forthcoming). In West Africa, only 2 to 3 percent of land is held with a government registered title (Toulmin 2005).

Low land registration may result partly from cumbersome, expensive registration and transfer systems loaded with survey expenses and fees, which make registration challenging and unaffordable for many (Toulmin 2005). The main challenges to effective land governance in Africa include land grabs, insecurity in land tenure, inefficient land administration and management, political interference and corruption, and insufficient capacity and resources (Byamugisha 2013).

The good news is that African countries are taking steps to clarify land rights. Botswana took the bold step of regularizing customary land in 2008, partly because the Land Boards faced challenges to administering tribal land (Malope and Phirinyane 2016). Zambia passed a new planning bill in 2015, extending planning controls across state and customary land and designating all local authorities as planning authorities (Wesseling 2016). Namibia recognizes traditional leaders as part of the formal land system; they are designated by the president and details about them are published in the government’s gazette (United Nations 2015b).

Some countries and cities are developing hybrid regimes to make formal and customary administration more compatible. For example, in Nigerian states with largely Muslim populations, the emir’s representatives subdivide and allocate land with the help of volunteer professionals from the government. An example is the city of Rigasa, in the extreme west of Kaduna (Igabi Local Government Area). Future urban redevelopers in Africa may learn from the past successes of two approaches—land sharing and land readjustment—in several Asian cities.
**Land Valuation and Prices**

The pricing of land on the market partly depends on policies, which must be designed with great care. Taxes, charges, and subsidies can be used to complement regulatory controls on land use, creating financial incentives and disincentives. Revenues—such as those from land-based financing—can also be used to finance administrative costs and infrastructure. And implementation tools, such as capital investment, budget, and phasing plans, can help with upstream planning.

Most cities in SSA lack the capacity to raise revenues from land: laws prohibit, or severely limit, land fees and taxes (World Bank 2015b). Even with different laws in place, cities would have little power to leverage land for revenue, as fiscal cadaster records and capacities are weak. And cities’ reliance on central government transfers means that they have few incentives to make such efforts. Given the inadequacy of revenues from intergovernmental transfers, Africa’s cities should consider land and property taxes to finance urban infrastructure and public services (box 3.4).

---

**BOX 3.4:**
**Leveraging Land Values to Finance Much-Needed Urban Infrastructure in Africa’s Cities**

To be economically dense and well connected, Africa’s cities will need huge investment in infrastructure. Although revenues from the intergovernmental transfer system have been the mainstay of urban public finance, there is a need to explore how cities can leverage the value of their assets—mainly land—to finance infrastructure and provide public goods and services. Land-based infrastructure financing has the greatest payoff where there is rapid urban growth. Rapid growth causes land prices to rise rapidly, creating an opportunity to generate significant revenue. Yet rapid growth also magnifies infrastructure investment needs, requiring significant sources of development finance. France, Japan, and the United States used land-based financing techniques most heavily during periods of rapid urban growth when there were large leaps in the scale of urban investment. Taxes on land can also potentially improve the efficiency of land use, as property owners have an incentive to develop the land to its most profitable use commensurate with the market value of the property. Valuable downtown locations with higher land prices will experience densification and investment in residential and commercial structures. Not only can such a tax incentivize dense urban development, they are also nondistortionary, as appreciation in land values is merely an economic rent for a scarce resource, rather than a return on the economic activity of the owner. So, unlike in production, there is no behavior by the owner to be distorted.

Higher revenues from land and real estate can come from (a) improved valuation of land and properties closer to their market value, thus deepening the tax base; (b) improved compliance, so that more property owners pay land and property taxes, thus broadening the tax base; and (c) monetization of underused public land. However, setting in place land and real estate tax systems that support economic density is not straightforward. Strong institutions are essential to define property rights clearly; ensure standardized and objective methods of land valuation; and support and oversee the process of land management, land sales, and tax collection. For purely real estate taxes, it is important to realize that property values generally respond more slowly to annual changes in economic activity and “property areas” respond even more slowly.
Urban Planning and Land Use Regulation

Land and property rights affect the transfer of land between users; land prices determine the intensity of investments in structures. However, land transfers and land prices are affected by urban planning and land use regulations—the policies that determine how and where land is used. Among land use regulations are zoning ordinances, building codes, and other associated bylaws, most of them legally binding (Birch 2008, 142).

Across Africa, today’s urban plans appear ineffective: They are not successfully coordinating investment in structures or managing the spatial form of cities. One source of difficulty is the inappropriate adoption of regulatory codes and planning models inherited from colonial regimes or imported from high-income countries (Balbo 1993; Gandy 2006; Kanyeihamba 1980; Myers 2003). Another problem is that plans do not give credible accounts of finance, market dynamics, or distributional impacts. Furthermore, guidelines are not articulated enough, granular enough, or transparent enough to set consistent and enforceable parameters for development. Finally, capacity and resource constraints weaken implementation and enforcement.

City and national authorities will have to make tough political decisions informed by technical evidence and assessments. For this, they will need to increase urban planning capacity and resources. Lack of staff capacity proves a serious constraint on effective urban management. It is even more crippling to enforcement, which is often the greatest challenge, even where all the necessary structures and regulations are in place.

Because African cities lack the institutional structures needed for functional land markets, farsighted planning, and effective regulation, their physical structures and infrastructure lag far behind the growth of the urban population. Not only housing, but other basic infrastructure and services are in a constant struggle to catch up.

RECOMMENDATION 2—Coordinate early infrastructure investments (while bringing them to scale).

Two sets of structures—institutional, and physical and infrastructural—must be improved, making them more livable and affordable for people and more attractive to business. However, physical structures and infrastructure pose special challenges.

One challenge is **path dependence**: the costs of developing housing, infrastructure, and industrial premises will depend on sequencing. Making infrastructure investments first, followed by investments in housing and industrial premises, can reduce the cost of all three. That is because sewerage, drainage, electricity, clean water, and connectivity are cheaper to provide at scale than if they are added to houses and factories individually and as an afterthought (Collier 2015). Further, urban structures share a “putty-clay” quality: once constructed, they are difficult to modify and can stay in place for more than 150 years (Hallegatte 2009).

A city’s ability to make infrastructure investments that are early, coordinated, and intensive will directly determine its later appeal to firms considering their own investments in the urban economy. Only
Efficient infrastructure and service provision will enable economic density combined with livability, for job market matching and productivity. In contrast, inefficient structures can set back urban development for decades, even centuries. The challenge is that although density in structures is a public good—the benefit of high economic density and interaction is an externality—the concomitant investment in housing and commercial structures must be borne privately by households and firms.

A second challenge is *interdependence* among various investments in physical structures and infrastructure. For households, the utility of housing depends on firms’ investments in premises that provide accessible jobs. And for firms, the productivity of premises depends on the proximity of infrastructure, workers, and customers. Any social return on public infrastructure depends on the proximity of housing and premises. For example, a rapid transit system is more viable at higher densities. Policies need to leverage complementarities and avoid coordination failures resulting in single-sector interventions that prevent economic density.

Unregulated markets are unlikely to solve the problems of path dependence and interdependence. Public policy and urban planning are needed to get urban structures “right.” This imperative is especially challenging in Africa, where the fragmented nature of urban development is locking cities into high cost paths.

Despite the challenges, coordination will be crucial to Africa’s success in managing path dependence and interdependence. Much of a structure’s value is determined by complementarities with other structures in the neighborhood or city. The first structures built will dictate the options for further investments in the vicinity; path dependence implies that investors need to anticipate what other structures will be built nearby. Further, these expectations are self-fulfilling—investments affect expectations, which in turn affect investments (see box 3.2). The problems of path dependence and interdependence are all the more pressing because of this circular problem of expectations, as it affects investments in durable capital.

**The Need for Common Knowledge**

Effective coordination requires *common knowledge* (Thomas et al. 2014). Common knowledge is distinct from and more demanding than shared knowledge, which is simply something that everyone knows. Shared knowledge becomes common knowledge only when everyone knows that everyone knows the same thing. Ideally, investment intentions need to be sufficiently publicly observable for each investor to be confident that other investors observe the same information.

**Strong Institutions Should Provide the Bedrock for Enhancing Africa’s Urban Structures**

Today, a race is on between new infrastructure investment projects and the institutional structures—the capacities of African city governments for urban planning, regulation, and enforcement—that should shape and coordinate them. The infrastructure projects are winning the race. The institutional structures are falling behind.

That is a problem. The choices that leaders make now will commit a city to a specific trajectory for decades to come (Lecocq and Shalizi 2014). If new transport systems and industrial zones, for example,
are not coordinated with one another—and with urban land markets and land use regulations—such projects can set a city on a counterproductive development path.

Large infrastructure projects such as roads, bus rapid transit, and railways come with high sunk costs. Like large structures, these projects depreciate very slowly over decades or even centuries (Philibert 2007). Cities display high inertia: although they are in a permanent process of reconstruction, their transformation is largely uncoordinated and targets only individual buildings or infrastructure segments at any one time. So urban forms are extremely difficult to change, even when large social gains are possible (Fay et al. 2015).

Decisions about a city’s growth pattern, based on underlying transport investment choices, will also strongly influence future greenhouse gas emissions and environmental sustainability. Scholars have proven the impact of urban form on driving behaviors, modal choices, transport-related energy consumption, and carbon dioxide emissions (Newman and Kenworthy 1989). African cities now enjoy a unique opportunity to avoid carbon-intensive urban transportation trajectories. Getting these choices right the first time around—while urbanization is still in its early stages—is critical. Given the inertia of urban settlements, polluting now and cleaning up later is not an option.

If infrastructure continues to outrun the institutional structures that should be planning and regulating development, Africa’s cities will lose. Because urban plans and development regulations are highly political, planning must be accompanied by effective and inclusive political systems and institutions—elements often lacking in Africa.

In sum, if cities in SSA remain crowded, disconnected, and costly, they can be neither kind to their residents nor productive. Yet these cities are still being built. What they can do today—before it is too late—is to ensure that they are not locked into inefficient and unsustainable patterns of urbanization. Given the high sunk costs and enduring nature of infrastructure, any approach to urban development that lacks early planning and coordination will only require future generations to clean up the mess: a terribly inefficient strategy.

To inspire better economic and social outcomes, cities in Africa need better institutions. It is up to local and national authorities to undertake the institutional reforms needed for effective planning and coordination—raising urban economic density and productivity, and spurring Africa’s belated structural transformation. Institutional structures must lead, not lag, urban infrastructure. As a result, the region’s cities will become not only better connected and more efficient, but also kinder to their working inhabitants, whose increasing skills will be critical to economic growth and development. The doors of African cities will then, and only then, stand open to the world.
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Appendix I

Country Classification for Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advanced Economies</th>
<th>Resource-Rich Countries</th>
<th>Non-Resource-Rich Countries</th>
<th>Fragile and Conflict Affected Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil</td>
<td>Metals &amp; Minerals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Angola</td>
<td></td>
<td>Benin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Chad</td>
<td></td>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Congo, Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Burundi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Equatorial Guinea</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cabo Verde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyprus</td>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cameroon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td></td>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Comoros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estonia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Côte d’Ivoire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eritrea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guinea-Bissau</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liberia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Madagascar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong SAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Malawi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iceland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mauritius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mozambique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rwanda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>São Tomé and Principe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Senegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latvia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithuania</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Somalia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luxembourg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>South Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malta</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sudan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marino</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovak Republic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taiwan Province of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Resource-rich countries are those with rents from natural resources (excluding forests) that exceed 10 percent of GDP.
2 Fragile countries should meet the following criteria: (a) a harmonized average CPIA country rating of 3.2 or less, or (b) the presence of a UN and/or regional peace-keeping or peace-building mission during the past three years.
3 Advanced economies list is from World Bank’s Global Economics Prospects Report.